IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION
CASE NO. 94-08273 CA (22)

HOWARD A. ENGLE, M.D.,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO
COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Miami-Dade County Courthouse Miami, Florida 8:55 a.m.

Wednesday, January 20, 1999 TRIAL - VOLUME 182

The above-styled cause came on for trial before the Honorable Robert Paul Kaye, Circuit Judge, pursuant to notice.

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

20070

APPEARANCES:

STANLEY M. ROSENBLATT, ESQ.

SUSAN ROSENBLATT, ESQ.

On behalf of Plaintiffs

DECHERT PRICE & RHOADS

ROBERT C. HEIM, ESQ.

SEAN P. WAJERT, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Philip Morris

COLL DAVIDSON CARTER SMITH SALTER & BARKETT

NORMAN A. COLL, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Philip Morris

ZACK KOSNITZKY

STEPHEN N. ZACK, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Philip Morris

CARLTON FIELDS WARD EMMANUEL SMITH & CUTLER

DOUGLAS CHUMBLEY, ESQ.

R. BENJAMINE REID, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant R.J. Reynolds

JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE

RICHARD M. KIRBY, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant R.J. Reynolds

KING & SPALDING

MICHAEL RUSS, ESQ.

RICHARD A. SCHNEIDER, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Brown & Williamson

CLARKE SILVERGLATE WILLIAMS & MONTGOMERY

KELLY ANNE LUTHER, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendants Liggett Group

and Brooke Group

SHOOK HARDY & BACON

EDWARD A. MOSS, ESQ.

WILLIAM P. GERAGHTY, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Brown & Williamson

JAMES T. NEWSOM, ESQ.

On behalf of Defendant Lorillard

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

20071

```
(APPEARANCES - Continued)
     DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON
     ANNE COHEN, ESQ.
     JOSEPH R. MOODHE, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendant The Council for Tobacco Research
     GREENBERG TRAURIG HOFFMAN LIPOFF ROSEN & QUENTEL
     DAVID L. ROSS, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendant Lorillard
     MARTINEZ & GUTIERREZ
     JOSE MARTINEZ, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendant Dosal Tobacco Corp.
       and Tobacco Institute
     KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES & FRIEDMAN
     AARON MARKS, ESQ.
     NANCY STRAUB, ESQ.
     On behalf of Defendants Liggett Group
       and Brooke Group
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                       20072
                            INDEX
     WITNESS
                                              PAGE
     WALKER MERRYMAN
     (Deposition read to jury):
                                              20143
     ELLEN MERLO
     (Deposition read to jury):
                        EXHIBITS
                            OFFERED ADMITTED FOR ID
     PLAINTIFFS'
     EXHIBITS
                                      PAGE
                                              PAGE
                              PAGE
     None.
                        EXHIBITS
     DEFENDANTS'
                            OFFERED ADMITTED FOR ID
     EXHIBITS
                              PAGE PAGE PAGE
     None.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                       20073
         (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had:)
               THE COURT: Is there anything we can do now?
     Are we waiting for Chumbley or what?
               MR. ROSENBLATT: We can -- we could start
     with Merlo.
               THE COURT: I can go through the Merlo depo.
               MR. HEIM: Do you want to do the Merlo depo
    first?
               THE COURT: Well --
               MR. HEIM: What I'm going to need, Judge, if
    I may, is five minutes at some point -- it doesn't have
    to be right now -- to consult with other defense
     counsel about this issue with regard to the President's
     speech last night.
               THE COURT: Yes. That's no problem.
               MR. HEIM: But we could, while we're waiting
17 for others --
               THE COURT: Let's try the Merlo thing,
     because there's a lot of areas in here which, even
     though I read through it and made some initial
     decisions -- we didn't do any of this yet, right?
               MS. LUTHER: Right.
               THE COURT: This was the one that was
     basically complete, but it's a Philip Morris document
```

1

2 3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON

more than anything else.

```
1
                MR. HEIM: It's a Philip Morris witness.
                THE COURT: All right. So let's start with
      Page 3. Before we get into that, the first depo to
 3
 4
      read this morning is --
 5
                MR. ROSENBLATT: Walker Merryman.
 6
                THE COURT: Merryman. That's done. And then
 7
      we'll do this one. And then we have the others. Okay.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: And I've got documents I can
 8
 9
      publish, if we need to fill some time.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Well -- all right.
11
      Page 3, 7 through 19, unopposed.
12
               Page 11, 8 through 24, unopposed.
13
               And Line 25, you objected to Line 25 and on
14
      top of 12, Lines 1 and 9, but I didn't know why.
15
               MR. HEIM: Well, it was just simply because
16
      it referred -- you'll see this objection, the next few
17
      objections. It refers, basically, to her working in
18
      Canada. It's not a big deal.
19
               THE COURT: I didn't have any problem with
20
      that.
               So Page 11, objection overruled.
21
22
               Page 12, objection overruled.
23
               13, Lines 18 through 25, unopposed.
24
               14, Lines 1 through 24, unopposed.
25
               And objections overruled on 14 and Page 15
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20075
 1
     and 16.
                On 17, Lines 1 through 5, overruled.
 2
 3
                Sustained as to 6 through -- now, I didn't
      understand what this 18, Lines 19 through 25, is.
 4
      There's a squiggle line. I think that's somebody's
 5
 6
      counter -- I couldn't figure it out.
 7
                MR. HEIM: 18, 19 through 25.
 8
                THE COURT: Yes. It doesn't mean --
 9
               MR. HEIM: Well, whatever it is, it's okay.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Page 19, the same thing,
11
      Lines 1 through 4. But your objection on 19 to 25 is
12
      overruled.
                On 20, Lines 1, 2 and 3, overruled. And I
13
14
      granted the counterdesignation on Page 20, 4 through
15
      25, and Line 1 through 25 on Page 21.
16
                25, 6 through 25, unopposed.
17
                26, 1 through 21, unopposed; overruled 22
18
      through 25. And all of the objections on Page 27.
19
               MR. HEIM: I'm sorry, Judge. Page 26.
20
                THE COURT: Yes.
               MR. HEIM: Okay. So page -- Page 26, Line 22
21
22
      through 27, that's all in? Is that it?
23
               THE COURT: Yes.
24
               MR. HEIM: Okay.
25
               THE COURT: As is 6 through 25 on Page 27.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20076
 1
               MR. HEIM: Okay.
 2
               THE COURT: And all of Page 28, overruled.
 3
               Page 29, 1 through 10, overruled.
 4
               13 through 16, overruled.
 5
               17 through 20, sustained.
```

```
6
                MR. HEIM: 17.
 7
                THE COURT: 30, Page 30, Lines 6 through 15,
 8
      sustained.
 9
                18 and 19, sustained.
                20 through 24, sustained.
10
11
                Page 31, 1, 2 and 3 and 4, 5 and 6 I
      sustained objections -- well, actually, you asked for
12
13
      those as counterdesignations, but I kept them out. 1
14
      through 6 is out. Or 1 through 7, I'm sorry. 1
15
      through 7.
16
                Then 8 through 25 was overruled.
17
                32, Lines 1 through 17, overruled; 18 through
      25, no objection.
18
19
                33, 1 through 25, overruled.
20
                Page 34, 1 through 25, overruled.
21
                Page 35, 1 through 8, no objection; 9 through
22
      25, overruled.
23
                36, 1 through 25, overruled.
24
                37, 1 through 25, overruled.
25
                38, 1 and 2, overruled.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20077
 1
                Page 40, 22 through 25, overruled.
 2
                41, 1 through 8, overruled.
 3
                42, 11 through 24, overruled.
 4
                43, 2 through 21, overruled.
 5
                22 through 25, sustained.
                MR. HEIM: Well, Judge, could you give me
 6
 7
      that one? I'm trying to keep up with you.
 8
                THE COURT: 43.
 9
                MR. HEIM: 43.
10
                THE COURT: 2 through 21, overruled.
11
                22 through 25, sustained.
                MR. HEIM: Okay.
12
                THE COURT: And on 44, Line 1, sustained; 2
13
14
      and 3, overruled.
                Okay. 48. The problem with this is, again,
15
      it touches on this issue of health. Now, if you're
16
17
      asking specifically a person what you think about the
18
      health plans is one thing, that's really not
19
      advertising. But when you tie it in with the
      advertising, then you get into the problem of a
20
21
      preemption issue, and that's why I questioned it.
22
               On Line 13, for example, the question is:
23
      Why in the advertising is it so often stressed lower
24
      tar and nicotine?
25
                They say -- the answer is:
                                           It's a taste
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20078
      claim.
 1
 2
                That's fine.
 3
                Then it goes into the next problem: Does it
 4
      appear in much of the advertising as a health claim?
 5
                So you're raising the issue again.
 6
                MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. But that particular
 7
      issue, you know, has been dealt with in other
 8
      depositions. It's our position that that's part of the
 9
      fraud as well, because they say, well, it's a consumer
10
      preference. And they know that's not true. They know
11
      the consumer has been conditioned to believe that lower
12
      tar, lower nicotine is somehow less dangerous.
```

```
13
               It's got nothing to do with health, other
14
     than the fact that they say -- that they say it's got
15
      nothing to do with health. They just say that it's a
16
      taste preference and, you know, it's our position
17
      that's part of it.
18
               MR. HEIM: Plaintiffs take every issue and
      say it's part of the fraud. That's the way they try to
19
      get around some of these issues. But this plainly
20
      implicates advertising in terms of the health claim,
21
22
      and it's not proper to put this in front of the jury
23
      the way it is put in this deposition.
24
               THE COURT: I agreed with you. That's where
25
     my problem is. So I allowed 13, 14 and 15, okay,
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
1
     because that doesn't relate to health. But then the
 2
      question on 16 through 25, while the answer doesn't get
 3
      into the health issue, the question does, and that's
 4
      where the problem is.
 5
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Couldn't she just continue
      her answer from 15 and then just go to 22, you know,
 6
 7
      without the question in between on Line 16?
               THE COURT: Yes.
8
9
               MR. HEIM: It doesn't solve the problem.
10
               THE COURT: No. It really does, because --
11
               MR. HEIM: Why?
12
               THE COURT: -- because the answer on 15 is
      it's a taste claim. Then, if you just jump down and go
13
14
      to 22, it says: I believe it's a taste claim. There
15
      are various taste levels within the cigarette that
16
      produce different tastes, and that's the same concept
17
      if you eliminate the question, 16 through 20 --
               MR. HEIM: I see what you're doing. Okay. I
18
19
      see what you're doing. You're taking out the question.
               THE COURT: Yes. Take the question out.
20
21
      Just leave the answer.
22
               And the next question on top of 49, 1, 2 and
23
      3, would be sustainable.
24
               Page 50, Lines 11 through 25 is unopposed.
25
                51, I left it in, I guess. I don't see any
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
      real problem with it. Lines 15 through 19, overruled.
1
 2
               55, 6 through 18, again advertising,
 3
      overruled.
 4
               Okay. Now we're getting into Benson &
 5
      Hedges. Wasn't that a British --
               MR. HEIM: Benson & Hedges is a Philip Morris
 6
 7
      brand. It depends on the time period.
               THE COURT: Yes.
8
9
               MR. ROSENBLATT: It used to be like a
10
      separate company.
11
               MR. HEIM: Right. It was. Right.
12
               THE COURT: It was British at one time,
13
      wasn't it?
               MR. HEIM: Yes. I think it was either
14
15
      British or Canadian, I'm not sure.
16
               MR. ROSENBLATT: I think the advertising
17
      tried to convey that it was high class, but whether it
18
      was really British, I don't know.
19
               THE COURT: Anyway, I'll overrule the
```

```
20
      objection on 60, Line 25; and 61, 1 through 25; and 62,
21
      1 through 25.
22
               Now, I see Mr. Chumbley is here.
23
               Do you want to stop and get into that other
24
      issue so we don't have to waste his time?
25
               MR. HEIM: Sure.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20081
 1
               MR. ROSENBLATT: I hate to waste
 2
      Mr. Chumbley's time.
               THE COURT: He's been very good at it. He
 3
 4
      comes in, says a few words and leaves.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Absolutely. Let's
 5
 6
      accommodate him.
 7
               MR. CHUMBLEY: I refer to it as cameo
 8
      appearances, Your Honor.
 9
               I'm sorry. I thought Your Honor told me
10
      yesterday 9:15, so I apologize.
11
               THE COURT: No, no, no. No problem. I guess
12
      we changed all that late yesterday afternoon.
               Okay. What seems to be the problem? I
13
14
      thought that they had agreed orders on the discovery of
15
      these or production of these slides and things.
               MR. CHUMBLEY: This is what happened, Your
16
17
      Honor. We filed four motions for court orders allowing
      for the release of original pathology and cytology
18
      slides and blocks. These are four of the class
19
20
     representatives who signed authorizations, medical
21
      authorizations, and we presented those authorizations
22
      to various hospitals and facilities, and they came back
23
      and said, we'll give you everything, but we won't give
24
      you the cytology slides or the pathology slides without
25
      a court order.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20082
                So we said, fine. That's not all that
 1
      unusual. So we filed motions. And on two of the
 2
 3
      motions we attached orders. The motions did not
      indicate that they were agreed motions, but we attached
 4
 5
      orders for Your Honor's review.
              About a week ago yesterday, I wrote to
 6
 7
     Mr. Hoag at Stanley's office and said, please let us
 8
      know if you have any objections to these motions.
 9
      Actually, this was a week ago Monday, January 11th.
      Please let me know by the close of business on Tuesday,
10
11
      January 12, whether you have any objections. If so,
12
      we'll try to squeeze it in on Friday when the jury
13
      wasn't going to be here.
14
                I did not hear anything in the way of
      objections by the close of business Tuesday, so on
15
16
      Wednesday, I submitted agreed orders, because I assumed
17
      that they didn't have any objections.
18
               Thursday afternoon of last week, I got their
19
      written objections. So that's where we are.
               THE COURT: Okay. I thought I had signed a
20
21
      couple of those.
22
                MR. CHUMBLEY: You have in the past, Your
23
      Honor. And for some reason, Mr. Rosenblatt's office
24
      has now, I guess, changed their position on the release
25
      of original pathology.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Let me tell you what the general
      procedure has been in cases that I have handled in
      which a situation like this came up. When you're
 3
 4
      talking about the gelatin block slides and tissue
 5
      samples and things of that nature, either they re-slice
 6
      and make new slides and new slices and, basically
 7
      speaking, a couple of slices in from where it was isn't
 8
      going to change things too much. Okay. So that's one
 9
      solution.
10
                MR. CHUMBLEY: It may change things.
11
                THE COURT: It depends on what you're talking
12
      about. Generally speaking, it doesn't because they're
      microscopic. But if there's a possibility that it
13
14
      might, of course, that's a scientific matter which we
15
      have to get into.
16
                That's one solution, to take new slide
17
      samples.
18
                Number two is we already have slides, and we
19
      have the original block, I assume.
                MR. CHUMBLEY: These health care facilities
20
21
      do, yes.
22
                THE COURT: Yes, the hospitals.
                MR. CHUMBLEY: They have them.
23
24
                THE COURT: Now, what has happened in the
25
      past is the two sides have gotten together, experts on
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20084
      both sides, and have gone to whatever facility it was
 1
 2.
      to examine them, so they were both there at the same
 3
      time and one could see what one was doing. Okay. I've
 4
      done that.
 5
                Then, by agreement, we have taken originals
 6
      and sent them to other laboratories for one side or the
 7
      other, but that was by agreement.
 8
                So, I can understand your position that you
 9
      would like to get as much information as you possibly
10
      can, but is there really a difference between the
11
      slides that are already in existence and the
12
      possibility of getting new slides?
                MR. CHUMBLEY: Let me tell you \operatorname{\mathsf{--}} let me tell
13
      you why it's our position, Your Honor, the re-cuts --
14
      in other words, what you indicated as making new slides
15
16
      from what's already there, what the problem with
17
      re-cuts is, first and foremost, I believe that the
18
      defendants are entitled to be looking at exactly the
19
      same thing that the class representatives' treaters and
20
      diagnosticians, if you will, pathologists, were looking
21
      at when those people make the diagnosis and when the
22
      determination was made as to a course of treatment.
23
                If we just look at re-cuts, all right, we may
24
      get a re-cut that doesn't include the part of the slide
25
      that the pathologist or the oncologist or whomever was
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20085
 1
      looking at when he or she made the diagnosis or when he
 2
      or she made a decision with regard to course of
 3
      treatment.
 4
                Similarly, even if we were to get a re-cut
 5
      that included the tumor, if you will, there may be --
```

```
6
      there may be stuff on the slide that's away from the
 7
      tumor that the defendants believe or contend would be
 8
      important, significant, which for one reason or
 9
      another, the diagnostician or the pathologist, when
      looking at the slides, didn't pay any attention to,
10
11
      either inadvertently or didn't think it was significant
      or just was concentrating on the tumor.
12
                So, it's important, I believe, for the
13
14
      defendants to be able to look at exactly what it was
15
      that these people were looking at when they made the
16
      diagnosis and when they made the course of treatment.
17
                We have taken possession of these before, and
18
      Mr. Rosenblatt's office at one time wrote us a letter
19
      saying you've got Mrs. -- I think it was Mrs. Della
      Vecchia's pathology. Mrs. Della Vecchia's doctor tells
20
21
      me that they need to have those back for a particular
22
      purpose.
23
                We got those pathology -- all of the
24
      pathology back to Mrs. Della Vecchia's doctor within 48
25
      hours. If they need it back, we give it back.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20086
 1
                But we believe that the defendants are
 2
      entitled to look at exactly what the treaters were
 3
      looking at and we need to show it to people.
 4
                THE COURT: Okay. Assuming your side of it,
      then, the hospital is in City X and you're in City Y
 5
 6
      and you want them to send it however they do it, parcel
 7
      post, mail, whatever --
 8
                MR. CHUMBLEY: What we do, Your Honor, quite
 9
      frankly, is we send an agent to pick it up.
10
                THE COURT: Physically?
                MR. CHUMBLEY: Physically pick it up.
11
                THE COURT: Okay.
12
13
                MR. CHUMBLEY: That's the way we've done it
14
      in the past. And with Mrs. Del Vecchia --
                THE COURT: Let me ask you another thing.
15
16
      I'm not familiar with the procedure.
17
                Once you get a slide, is it possible to
18
      duplicate that slide?
19
                MR. CHUMBLEY: I don't believe so, Your
20
      Honor.
21
                THE COURT: In other words, take photographs
22
      of it or whatever?
23
                MR. CHUMBLEY: I don't believe so. Once we
24
      get the slides, what we do is we have people look at it
25
      precisely the way that a pathologist would look at it
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20087
 1
      when the biopsy is done.
 2
                THE COURT: Yes, but with micro-photography,
 3
      wouldn't you be able to put it in a machine?
 4
               MR. CHUMBLEY: I don't believe so.
 5
                THE COURT: They do it all of the time.
 6
                MR. CHUMBLEY: I don't believe so, but even
 7
      if we were able to do that, we would have to have it in
 8
      the first instance.
 9
                THE COURT: Your side would have the
10
      opportunity to take photographs of the original after
11
      you've looked at it.
12
                MR. CHUMBLEY: I think what you'll find, I've
```

13 found over the years, Your Honor, is that pathologists like to look at the slides. They're used to looking at 14 15 slides. 16 THE COURT: Of course. Now, what is the main objection for the 17 18 defense to then getting these slides? MR. ROSENBLATT: You know, it's a very 19 20 practical problem. And, you know, we didn't pay much attention to this. It seemed they just wanted more 21 records, initially, and then it was brought to my 22 23 attention that, you know, some of the doctors or 24 hospitals get very, very upset when the originals are 25 removed. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20088 And they said, this is -- this is craziness 1 2 because we can -- we never had a case -- no one -- no 3 one in a pathology lab ever heard of in a lawsuit that 4 they weren't satisfied with the re-cuts. They said 5 that scientifically, it's essentially the same thing. I don't have the expertise to know that, but I do 6 7 know -- the whole issue has to do with the originals. 8 THE COURT: I'll tell you what. Let's look 9 at it this way. 10 There's two interests involved here that 11 we've been talking about. Well, actually, three. 12 We're not talking about the defense at this point. We're talking about the hospital's interest and the 13 14 original slides. 15 Assuming that the hospital may need them for 16 future --17 MR. ROSENBLATT: Treatment. THE COURT: -- in the diagnosis or treatment. 18 MR. ROSENBLATT: Right. 19 20 THE COURT: And without them, they would be 21 in trouble, much like the missing X-ray. That's a 22 problem for the hospital. 23 The legal problem that we get into is if you 24 take the original from the hospital and take them 25 someplace else, the possibility of them getting lost TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 1 either in transit, to and from, if that is what the 2. main problem is, that could be resolved in this regard. 3 An agreement can be reached that if the defense takes 4 the slides, whatever it may be, and loses them, then 5 they're precluded from ever attacking that particular 6 issue in a lawsuit. 7 8 MR. CHUMBLEY: Obviously, the defendants, if 9 they have possession of the original pathology, the 10 original pathology and cytology, if they have 11 possession of it and the defendants lose it, they have 12 a problem. I understand that. THE COURT: I'm saying that can be resolved 13 with an agreement. Okay. And if that is one of the 14 15 issues. 16 But if it's really a medical issue, I can 17 understand the hospital's reluctance to give up the 18 slides, saying we may need them. The answer to that is 19 then you take your guy and you take them to the

```
20
      pathology lab of whatever hospital it is and he looks
      at them there. I don't have any problem with that.
21
               MR. CHUMBLEY: Well, Your Honor, that makes
22
23
      it kind of cumbersome.
               THE COURT: What's cumbersome? You've got to
24
25
      send somebody there to pick them up in the first place
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20090
 1
      and then somebody to bring them back, as compared to,
      say, take your expert and send them down there and let
      them use their lab to look at them. In that regard, we
 3
 4
      can send both experts there at the same time.
 5
               MR. CHUMBLEY: There are issues, you know,
      with regard to, you know, availability and all of that.
 6
 7
               If we have -- if we have the originals, then
 8
      we can show them to people in their office, and they
 9
      can examine it at their leisure as opposed to sitting
10
      in a hospital facility and being rushed and using
11
      equipment, perhaps, that is not the equipment they
12
      would like to use, that they have better equipment that
      they would like to look at the slides with at their own
13
14
      facility or their own office. These are issues that
15
      arise.
               If the problem is we lost them, we haven't
16
17
      lost them in the past. When Mrs. Della Vecchia told
      Mr. Rosenblatt we need them back, we had them back
18
      within 48 hours.
19
                THE COURT: I've had cases, believe it or
20
21
      not, which the X-rays disappeared from the time they
22
      left the hospital until the time they got to the
23
      defense attorney's hospital and then back before it got
24
      to court for production, they were lost somewhere in
      the middle. It's an issue in the case. I don't know
25
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20091
      if it's going to be that critical.
 1
               MS. LUTHER: Judge, can I just interject for
 2
 3
      a second on a totally -- representing SmithKline's
      behalf, which I know is one of the entities you're
 5
      trying to get originals from, my firm is regional
      counsel for them in the State of Florida. They will
 6
 7
     not allow an expert to come and use their facilities.
 8
               We -- we traditionally hand over the original
 9
      slides, with a receipt, saying you have to return them
10
      to us within a date certain.
11
                THE COURT: Well --
               MS. LUTHER: And there's always the option of
12
13
      a spoliation case.
                THE COURT: You always run into some of these
14
15
      problems sooner or later.
16
                It isn't really that big a deal, frankly.
17
                MR. CHUMBLEY: I tend to agree, Your Honor.
18
      The simple fact of the matter is that this material
19
      is --
20
               THE COURT: Let me ask you a question. You
      want the original slides. You don't need the blocks.
21
22
                MR. CHUMBLEY: We need the blocks, too.
23
                THE COURT: I know it says block, but do you
      really need the block?
24
25
               MR. CHUMBLEY: Our motion is very specific.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
1
      If I can hand up one copy, which is a representative
      motion, this is relating to Mr. Amodeo.
 3
                Thank you.
 4
                If you look on the second page of the motion,
 5
      we're very specific in terms of what we're asking.
                THE COURT: Slides and blocks including those
 6
 7
      bearing the numbers. You give a whole bunch of
 8
      numbers. I don't know if these are slides or blocks.
               MR. CHUMBLEY: Could be a combination of
 9
      both, Your Honor.
10
               We're very specific. And as Ms. Luther
11
12
      indicates, even with a court order, these facilities
13
      make very sure, in no uncertain terms, that they know
14
      who has it and that they know when it's supposed to be
15
      back.
               THE COURT: Well, I really basically don't
16
17
     have any, you know, objection to it. I just want to
     make sure that whatever happens, that if by some chance
18
19
     they get misplaced or whatever, the onus and the burden
      is going to be on the defense. And I'm going to
20
21
      preclude you from making any allegation whatsoever that
22
      these slides have whatever meaning or opposite meaning
23
     that you think or can use them or attack them in any
24
      fashion. I mean, that's going to be the condition if
25
     you get them. Okay.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20093
                MR. CHUMBLEY: So I guess, Your Honor, to the
 1
     back of that motion you'll find one of the orders that
 2
 3
      you've signed before I realized that the --
               THE COURT: Right.
 4
 5
               MR. CHUMBLEY: -- plaintiff --
               THE COURT: I'll just re-sign that.
 6
               MR. CHUMBLEY: Do you want us to change that
 7
 8
      in any way?
9
                THE COURT: I need an amended order. So what
10
      we'll do is we'll just vacate this order and send in
11
      original orders.
12
               MR. CHUMBLEY: I'll draft one.
13
               THE COURT: I don't know if these, what you
14
      called agreed orders --
15
               MR. CHUMBLEY: If Your Honor hasn't signed
16
      them, I guess you ought not to.
17
               THE COURT: No, I haven't. But they don't
18
      have the numbers and all that.
19
               MR. CHUMBLEY: No, they don't. The agreed
20
      orders are identical to the one you signed with the
21
      exception that it doesn't say agreed on it. So what
22
      we'll do is we'll vacate the January 11 order, and
23
      we'll draft up a new one for you to sign.
24
               THE COURT: Yes. And put in there pursuant
25
      to hearing, so we know we had a hearing.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20094
                MR. CHUMBLEY: Right.
 1
 2
                THE COURT: And the caveat as to
 3
      responsibility if they're mislaid.
 4
               MR. ROSENBLATT: We would -- you'll probably
      agree to this, but we would like -- this is a very
```

sensitive, you know, area, and there are -- there's 6 7 possibilities for, you know -- I'm not making any 8 charges, but there's possibilities for alterations and 9 stuff like that. All I want to do, in view of Your Honor's 10 11 ruling, is to get notice when you're going to send an agent to pick up the originals so that we have the 12 13 option of sending someone over there or talking to the 14 person and finding out, okay, now, you know, let's have 15 a detailed receipt, exactly -- we may want to take a 16 picture. 17 THE COURT: That's fine. I have no problem. I think you're entitled to be there and entitled to 18 19 look at what's turned over. MR. ROSENBLATT: Give us reasonable notice as 20 21 to when you're sending your person. 22 THE COURT: Now, you said something to be 23 returned within reasonable time. 24 MR. CHUMBLEY: Right. 25 THE COURT: You know how lawyers look at TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20095 reasonable time or the word reasonable. 1 MR. CHUMBLEY: It depends, because what we 2. 3 have to do once we get the slides and the blocks is we 4 have to arrange for our consultants to have time to 5 look --THE COURT: What time are you talking about? 6 7 I hate to interrupt like this. If you're talking 30 8 days, 45 days or two weeks or whatever it is that you 9 really think --10 MR. CHUMBLEY: You know, I don't know the time frame, Your Honor, but I would assume that it 11 would be at least 60 days. 12 13 THE COURT: 60 days. MR. CHUMBLEY: At least. I can find out. 14 THE COURT: Let's do it this way. Let's look 15 at it -- put a 45-day limit which can be extended upon 16 17 motion. 18 MR. CHUMBLEY: Okay. 19 THE COURT: That way we'll have something definitive by time. 20 MR. CHUMBLEY: Understood. 21 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 MR. CHUMBLEY: One other matter, Your Honor, 24 and then I'll finish this cameo appearance. 25 Reynolds filed a Request for Production to TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 1 the plaintiff in this matter. I have a copy for the Court. This is a --2 3 THE COURT: Let me give this back to you, 4 Mr. Chumbley, so I don't get confused. 5 MR. CHUMBLEY: This is a very simple Request 6 for Production. It merely asks for the plaintiffs to 7 provide copies of CVs for certain of their Phase II 8 experts. 9 Mr. Rosenblatt, before the Christmas break, 10 indicated with regard to class representatives, he 11 named three of them that he would like to try first in 12 Phase II. And on November 20, he answered

```
13
      interrogatories listing the expert witnesses he intends
14
      to call in the Phase II trial of those people.
15
               We're asking for CVs, resumes, and we're
16
      asking for a motion to shorten time for them to provide
      that. It's relatively straightforward, not very
17
18
      burdensome, not burdensome at all, and we believe the
19
      plaintiffs should be able to produce those within 15
20
      days.
21
               MR. ROSENBLATT: We'd like a longer period of
22
      time. I don't --
23
               MR. CHUMBLEY: It seems to me, Your Honor,
24
      this requires a phone call saying, fax me your CV. I
25
      don't know why they would need 30 days to do that.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20097
                THE COURT: For those they already know
 1
 2
      they're going to use.
 3
               MR. CHUMBLEY: Well, these -- we referenced
 4
      in our motion and in our Request for Production that
 5
      we're asking for the CV of each expert listed in
      Paragraphs 9, 11 and 15 of their interrogatories.
 6
 7
      These are people that they've already indicated they
 8
      intend to use as experts in Phase II.
 9
                THE COURT: Well, as long as that's listed,
10
      then there's no reason why you can't get it done.
11
               All right. Let's do that, again, with the
      caveat if you run into a problem on the time.
12
                MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay.
13
14
                THE COURT: 15 days.
15
                Okay. I have another motion here from
      Mr. Geraghty, release of records produced under seal.
16
17
               MR. MOSS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I was --
18
               THE COURT: I know you were looking. Your
19
      name wasn't --
20
               MR. MOSS: Let me read what you said.
                THE COURT: It's a B&W document.
21
      Mr. Geraghty, I guess that's how you pronounce it,
22
23
      filed January 7: Please find enclosed Brown &
24
      Williamson motion for records sealed under order in
25
      response to our subpoena duces tecum for records
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20098
 1
      regarding plaintiff, Raymond Lacey. The medical
 2.
      provider filed subpoena records under seal with the
      clerk's office. Motion seeks release of those records
 3
 4
      for purpose of Phase II discovery.
               MR. MOSS: Yes, sir.
 5
               THE COURT: So forth and so on.
 6
 7
                MR. MOSS: I know a little bit about it.
 8
                THE COURT: Well, that's what it is.
 9
               MR. MOSS: But that's self-explanatory. They
10
      responded to the subpoena, oddly, by saying we're not
11
      going to give -- we'll give it to the Court under seal.
12
      All we're asking for is that the Court unseal it so we
13
      could get the documents.
14
               Did we present you with an order?
15
                THE COURT: I have an order here, yes.
16
      just --
17
               MR. ROSENBLATT: It has been sent to the
18
      Court already?
19
               THE COURT: Apparently.
```

```
20
                MR. ROSENBLATT: As I understand it.
21
                MR. MOSS: That's what it says.
22
                THE COURT: It says: In response to our
23
      subpoena duces tecum for records, the medical provider
      filed the subpoenaed records under seal with the
24
25
      clerk's office.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20099
 1
                I'll have Olga check it. If she's got it;
 2
     release it.
 3
                MR. MOSS: Thank you, sir.
                THE COURT: The only problem I have with it
 4
 5
      is the form of the order. It's got a done and ordered
 6
      page on the second page.
                MR. MOSS: I will get you another one.
 7
 8
                THE COURT: I've got a stamp that cures all
 9
      that.
10
                So I'll take care of that.
11
                Hold on to that. Put that on my desk for
12
      signature.
13
                Okay. That takes care of that.
14
                Now, back to --
15
                MR. ROSENBLATT: Back to Merlo.
16
                THE COURT: Merlo. Okay.
17
                Mr. Chumbley, if you feel like you have to go
      back to the office, that's fine. If not, you want to
18
      earn your bucks, you can sit.
19
                MR. CHUMBLEY: I'm comfortable, Judge. Thank
20
21
      you.
22
                THE COURT: It's always a pleasure having
23
      you.
24
               Page 64, Line 11 through 25 -- Page 64, Lines
25
      11 through 25, overruled.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20100
                And 65, 1 through 8, overruled; and 20
 1
      through 25, overruled.
 2
 3
                66, 1 through 25, overruled.
 4
                Page 67, 1 through 5, overruled.
 5
                72, 8 through 19, no opposition.
 6
                73, 11 through 25, overruled.
 7
                1 through 7, overruled on Page 74.
 8
                And 23 through 25 on 74, overruled.
 9
               Page 75, 1 through 8, overruled.
10
                Okay. 79, 4 through 25, overruled.
11
                80, 1 through 25, overruled.
12
                81, 1 through 5, overruled.
13
               92, 6 through 25, overruled.
14
                93, 1 through 25, overruled.
15
                94, 1 through 25, overruled.
16
                95, 19 through 23, sustained; and 24, 25, I
17
      sustained -- let's see. There's a little confusion
18
     here.
19
                Okay. We get into a real hairy argument
20
      here, so let's sustain 19 through 25 on Page 95; Line 1
21
      on 96; Lines 8 through 13 on 96.
22
               Now you're talking about something else,
23
      avoiding a legally mandated warning.
24
               If I look at 97, we're skirting around on
25
      this issue. Your objection is?
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
1
               MR. HEIM: My objection is preemption and
      speculative. There's an implication that the warnings
      aren't sufficient by the way the question is asked, and
 3
 4
      the next question after that, it just calls for
      speculation. Would you? If?
 5
               And she says -- in fact, she says -- well,
 6
 7
      she picks up on her lawyer's objection, but she says:
 8
      It's speculative. I don't know.
 9
               How would she know?
10
               THE COURT: See, the problem we get into here
11
      on this preemption issue is the phrase in Cipollone
12
      regarding advertising, all forms of. Walking around
13
      with a T-shirt with a logo on it is a form of
14
      advertising.
15
               MR. HEIM: Actually, it says advertising or
16
      promotion in the statute.
17
               THE COURT: Well, forms of advertising.
      what's advertising? T-shirt. That type of thing.
18
               Most people think of advertising and
19
20
      promotion as the written page in a magazine or
      something, promotion of that type.
21
22
               So I marked it all out. So 96 is out; 97,
23
      Lines 3 through 14.
24
                98, 5 through 20, overruled.
25
               MR. HEIM: Your Honor, may I be heard
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20102
      briefly --
 1
               THE COURT: Let's see. 5 through 17. Excuse
 2.
 3
      me. Because there's another question on 18.
               Okay. Go ahead.
 4
 5
                MR. HEIM: What I was going to say, Your
 6
      Honor, is this section from 98 basically on to 112 is
 7
      simply arguing with a witness who is a merchandising
      and promotion type person working in the merchandising
 8
 9
      area and corporate affairs area on the science of
10
      smoking, and I think it's there simply to argue that.
11
               It's repetitious. It's cumulative. It's
12
      argumentative. And it's asking questions of somebody
13
      who doesn't have a foundation or a basis to answer
14
      them.
15
               THE COURT: Well, we're not asking in this,
16
      from what I can see, the scientific information. I
      think the thrust of the question, basically, is if
17
18
      you're in the area of marketing and all of that, you're
19
      supposed to know what warnings are on the labels. And
      the question was: What does the warning say about
20
21
      cancer on your package of cigarettes?
22
                The answer: There are several warnings.
23
                Question: There's only one warning that
24
      talks about cancer; isn't there?
25
               The answer is: May cause.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20103
               So, in that regard, if you're talking about
 1
 2
      somebody in marketing and advertising --
 3
               MR. HEIM: But the warning --
 4
                THE COURT: I think it's within their realm
      of knowledge as to what the warning is on their
```

6 product. 7 MR. HEIM: But the warnings are what the 8 warnings are. 9 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. But the knowledge of someone as high up as Ellen Merlo -- she's been with 10 11 Philip Morris like 25 years and occupies a very high position, and the knowledge --12 13 MR. HEIM: What difference does it make? How 14 is it relevant to any claim in this case? 15 Then it goes on from there and argues: Can 16 you name one doctor, one -- it's more of the --17 THE COURT: Well, we haven't got to that 18 part, yes. MR. HEIM: All right. 19 THE COURT: That series of questions on 98 is 20 21 really testing their knowledge as to what the warnings 22 are, and that's not a problem. 23 MR. HEIM: Down to Line 17. 24 THE COURT: Yes. Then you get into: What 25 have you done to educate yourself on whether they're TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED accurate? Now we're getting into another area, okay. 1 2 Now we're getting into sufficiency, and by 3 implication, an attack on the effect of the warnings. 4 So that's why I cut it off at 17. Actually 15. 5 Actually 15. So, I allowed 5 through 15 and disallowed 16 6 7 through 20 on 98. 8 But then we get into the esoteric areas we're 9 talking about. This again goes along with the general 10 theme that counsel has been raising regarding what information did they know about their product. 11 MR. HEIM: But here he's personalizing it as 12 13 to her, who is a marketing person, asking questions, 14 some of which contain hearsay in the questions and getting that kind of question in front of jury, and 15 16 she's not a person who -- it's not within her realm. 17 And it's certainly cumulative and 18 repetitious, in addition to being argumentative. 19 MR. ROSENBLATT: The most critical question 20 to the people that buy Philip Morris products. She's 21 in marketing. She's got to have a basic knowledge, or 22 she doesn't, but the jury is entitled to know what 23 someone in this position knows, and all they know is 24 the party line: Causation hasn't been proven. They're 25 ignorant, either deliberately or not deliberately, of TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20105 1 any of the science whatsoever. 2 All they can do is repeat the party line. 3 And that's what LeBow said of the -- that's what a CEO of one of the defendants said. He said, yes, the 5 reason I said that at my first deposition, my lawyer told me, this is the party line; we know from nothing. 6 7 MR. HEIM: Well, I don't know what LeBow said 8 or didn't say. MR. ROSENBLATT: Sure you do. You were here. 9 10 MR. HEIM: No, I don't. I was here and I 11 cross examined him, and I still don't know what he said 12 or didn't say. That's not the point.

```
13
                The point is, these are not proper questions
14
      of this witness, in addition to the fact that they
15
      contain hearsay, and they're argumentative questions.
16
               I mean, how is she going to answer a
17
      question, can you name one doctor or medical specialist
18
      who agrees -- who disagrees with the Surgeon General?
19
      That's not a fair question to ask the witness.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: How can she answer that? I
20
      read a document, a Philip Morris document, we got
21
22
      Dr. Joe Blow at Stanford who says the Surgeon General
23
      is wrong. That's how she can answer.
24
               MR. HEIM: Well, she's a marketing person in
25
      the first instance. And the question assumes a number
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20106
      of things that she wouldn't have any idea about. It's
 1
 2
      pure argument.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. I'm on 99 now. I'll
      overrule the objections to Lines 1 through 5. Sustain
 4
 5
      it as to 6 through 12 -- 6 through 16, actually.
                I overruled 17 through 25.
 6
 7
               Then I get into the area: Did you ever
 8
      inquire at Philip Morris? And she says she has
 9
      discussed it.
10
                So, down through 19, overruled; 20 through
11
      25, sustained.
                101, 3 through 7, sustained.
12
13
               All right. Page 102, Lines 17 through 25,
14
      sustained.
15
               103, Lines 1 and 4, sustained.
16
               10 is sustained.
17
               The question is a baiting question on 103,
18
      Line 11.
19
               MR. HEIM: It sure is.
                THE COURT: But her answer is regarding the
20
21
      fact that it's all epidemiology, and she may disagree
22
      with their conclusions.
23
               MR. HEIM: Yes, but the question is so
24
      argumentative. It talks about the ploy by Philip
25
      Morris or making big money. It is a baiting question.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20107
 1
                THE COURT: The rest of it becomes
 2.
      repetitious anyway, since we've already gone through
 3
      this.
 4
                MR. HEIM: All right.
                THE COURT: She didn't talk to anybody about
 5
 6
      it.
 7
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. But although she
 8
      professes -- she professes ignorance on the science,
9
      her answer on 103 is the absolute standard party line,
      which is the fraud.
10
11
               MR. HEIM: It's cumulative.
12
               MR. ROSENBLATT: She knows that -- she knows
13
      that's untrue, and she says it because that's her
14
15
                THE COURT: Here's what we'll do. Question
16
      11, Page 103, question on Line 11, take 11 and 12 up to
17
      the word proven, and allow those -- that phrase, and
18
      her answer on 22 through 25, eliminating the bulk of
19
      the body of the question starting on Line 11.
```

```
20
                So, the allowable question is: And so on
21
      what basis are you saying that it hasn't been
22
      scientifically proven? You drop down to the answer on
23
      Line 22. And the top of 104, Line 1.
                Okay. The rest of it I kept out, 2 through
24
25
      18, on 104.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20108
 1
                19 through 25 is another repetitious
 2
      question, sustained.
 3
                105, Line 1 and 2, sustained.
 4
                And we're back to the warnings.
 5
                Well, there's a colloquy here about what
 6
      actually did the warnings say.
 7
                Okay. The question on Lines 3 through 10 is
 8
      overruled. And the rest is repetitious, Lines 11
 9
      through 24. Line 25 is sustained.
10
                Through Page 106 -- I guess that was an area,
11
      Line 25.
12
                I sustained the objections from Page 106,
13
      Lines 10 through 25.
14
                107, sustained up through Line 1 through 13.
15
                There's a new question on 14. 14 through 25
16
      is overruled.
17
                108, overruled, through 19.
18
                Line 20, he talks about the Wall Street
      Journal again. That's the problem.
19
20
                I sustained the objection from 20 to 25 on
21
      Line 108. And Lines 1 through 6 on 109 also.
22
               Lines 7 through the bottom of the page,
23
      sustained.
24
               Page 110, sustain the objection, Line 1 and
25
      Lines 4 through 25.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20109
 1
                Sustain the objection on Page 111, Lines 5
      through 6 and 21 through 25.
 2
 3
               And we go back to the same old esoteric
 4
      questions. Line 1 through 9, sustained.
 5
                Line 13, sustained.
 6
                14 through 20, overruled.
 7
                Page 115, 7 through 20, overruled; 21 through
 8
      25, sustained.
 9
                Page 116, 1 through 7, sustained.
10
                8 through 25, no objection.
11
                Page 117, 1 through 22, no objection.
                Again, there's squiggle lines. I don't know
12
13
      what that means, but if you want to read them, that's
14
      okay.
15
                Page 119, 6 through 25, no objection.
16
                Page 120, 1 through 7, no objection.
17
                121, 4 and 5, no objection. 18 through 25,
18
      no objection.
19
                122, overrule the objection on 6, 7 and 8.
20
      And there's no objection to 9 through 14.
21
                123, Lines 1 through 5, sustained.
22
                THE COURT: Let me mark this, and we can pick
23
      this up after the jury comes in. I'd like to have the
24
      jury start doing something. So we're on Page 123.
25
               MR. HEIM: Right.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
1
                THE COURT: And we'll pick it up.
 2
                MR. REID: I don't think the jury is coming
 3
      until ten.
 4
                THE COURT: Excuse me. Did I say ten?
                MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. You said ten, Judge.
 5
                MR. HEIM: Your Honor, we ought to discuss
 6
 7
      this matter before the jury comes in.
                THE COURT: Let's take a few minutes to talk
 8
 9
      with them about it.
10
                (Recess)
11
                THE COURT: I took the front page of the
12
      Herald just so we could review it.
13
               MR. HEIM: Your Honor, as you know, because I
14
      mentioned it a moment -- a few minutes earlier this
15
     morning, we have a substantial concern about the jury
      as a result of the President's State of the Union
16
17
     Address last night and comments that he made over
18
     national television that was covered by all of the
19
      major networks and reported in the newspaper today.
                The New York Times has in it the -- what I
20
21
     believe is the press release text of the President's
22
      State of the Union Address to Congress. This is dated
23
      Wednesday, January 20th, today's date, and it recites
24
      as follows, and I'm quoting from Page A-22 of the New
25
      York Times:
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20111
                As everyone knows, our children are targets
 1
      of a massive media campaign to hook them on cigarettes.
 2.
 3
      I ask this Congress to resist the tobacco lobby.
      Together let's reaffirm the FDA's authority to protect
      children from tobacco. Hold the tobacco companies
 5
 6
      accountable and protect tobacco farmers.
 7
                I believe that the President also added
     extemporaneously last night, in fact, I know that he
 8
 9
     did, because I was watching the speech, that the
10
     government, by way of the Justice Department, was in
11
      the process of preparing a lawsuit against the tobacco
12
      companies, and the President added that whatever they
13
      recovered from the tobacco companies through that
14
      lawsuit would be used -- and I'm not entirely sure that
15
      I've got this part of it right, but I think I am --
16
      would be used to help pay for Medicare costs in the
17
      future and for solving the problems that the Federal
18
      government has with the Medicare system.
19
                In addition to the New York Times account and
20
      what the President said orally on television last
21
      night, the Miami Herald reported on the front page
22
      today that, and I quote, Clinton also announced that
23
      the Justice Department will sue the tobacco industry to
24
      recover billions of dollars in health care costs spent
25
      on smokers. Clinton also is seeking a 55 cent a pack
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20112
      tax on cigarettes to finance much of his proposed new
 1
 2
      spending.
 3
                THE COURT: Which edition?
 4
                MR. HEIM: Your Honor, I have the Miami
 5
      Herald, final edition, taken today.
```

6 THE COURT: The one I have is a Broward 7 edition. I was looking for something in it, and the 8 only thing I saw in the Herald itself was in the 9 editorial section, a brief reference. Let me see if 10 it's on the same page. 11 No. They didn't carry it. Okay. MR. HEIM: Your Honor --12 THE COURT: Yes. This was the one I was 13 14 looking for because I had read that this morning. 15 MR. HEIM: Right. And I had picked it up this morning on the way in and picked up the Times on 16 17 the way in, as well. 18 THE COURT: Yes. The Broward paper is no 19 good. 20 MR. HEIM: Your Honor, the question is with 21 some 60 million people having watched the speech last 22 night and heard the President makes these comments, 23 with your -- we need to consider what the right thing 24 to do with this jury is, in view of Your Honor's 25 frequent exhortation to the jury that they decide this TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20113 1 case based on the evidence in the courtroom. 2. And I believe the matter is of such import 3 that Your Honor ought to do the following. My proposal to Your Honor is that you would proceed as follows. 4 I suggest, Your Honor, that you -- when you 5 6 bring the panel in, since you frequently say to them, 7 have you heard anything or has anything happened over 8 the course of the weekend or the evening, it would not 9 be all that much different for the Court to say to this 10 panel, how many of you watched the President's State of the Union address last night and to ask them to raise 11 their hand if they did. And then take note of the 12 13 jurors' numbers. Your Honor could then ask, how many of you, 14 even if you didn't watch the President's State of the 15 Union address, saw or heard radio or television reports 16 17 about the President's speech or read about it in the 18 news today? 19 I say that because I also watched CNN this 20 morning, and they reported on the tobacco part of his 21 speech last night. It may be that we'll find that probably, to 22 23 our surprise, if it happens, that no hands get raised. 24 But if people do raise their hands, then I think those 25 jurors ought to be separated from the other jurors and TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED Your Honor should inquire individually of those jurors 1 2 if they -- one by one, whether they recall what the 3 President said in the first place or what they read about what the President said, and whether, in all 5 honesty, since they've sworn to tell us the truth, 6 whether they think anything the President said would 7 have any influence on their consideration of the 8 evidence or their deliberations when it comes to a 9 verdict. 10 And we would then -- we would then have to 11 see where we are at that point. But I think it's of 12 sufficient concern that we would ask Your Honor to do

13 that. 14 MR. ROSENBLATT: Let's put this into perspective. If we were getting agitated and excited 15 16 every time some big shot or prominent figure makes an antitobacco statement, this kind of motion would be 17 18 made every day. Obviously the State of the Union is in 19 a special category and a tremendous amount of people 20 watched it. 21 Putting it in perspective, we take witness 22 after witness, and we really, I think, sometimes lose sight of the fact of the uniqueness of this case. For 23 24 example, one of the most fundamental rules in 25 courtrooms was violated constantly by the defense. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20115 1 They had their reasons, and it was fine, during LeBow's 2 testimony. 3 If Your Honor remembers, they explicitly 4 discussed his settlement agreements with the Attorney 5 Generals. Of course, their reason for that was to try to demonstrate to the jury his motivation. 6 7 Now, if we look at this thing realistically, 8 the tobacco issues, tobacco and health have been very, 9 very controversial subjects in this country for several 10 years now. I think realistically we know, and 11 certainly we're in a better position than almost anyone else to know or to have an idea as to what the average 12 person knows by virtue of going through about a 13 14 thousand questionnaires during the very lengthy jury 15 selection process. 16 I think it's fair to say, Judge, the average 17 person does not know the details as to litigation with -- in the tobacco field. But I think just about 18 19 everybody knows, in a general way, the tobacco companies have settled cases, they have settled cases 20 21 for multiple billions of dollars, and they have settled 22 cases with the Attorney Generals of the various states. 23 I think most people, you know, know that. 24 So in that context, what Clinton said last 25 night, big deal. Now, nothing was more predictable TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED than that this kind of thing would occur. Nothing was 1 2. really more predictable but that President Clinton 3 would make an antitobacco statement, considering his 4 past record in his State of the Union addresses. And 5 if they were so worried about it, they should have 6 moved to sequester the jury, which they never did. 7 And basically, if you would -- if you would 8 extend their position to its logical conclusion, they 9 would be totally insulated from lawsuits, because 10 something is said all of the time. Something is said 11 constantly. 12 We started jury selection in July. We 13 started selecting -- we started putting on evidence in 14 October. 15 Not everything can be elevated to a cause 16 celeb. This is not a cause celeb. Clinton has made 17 statements like this many, many times. Many democrats 18 have made statements. 19 If Your Honor remembers, during many of the

```
20
      settlements by the Attorney Generals, which got very,
21
      very wide coverage, both attorney -- Attorney Generals
22
      and governors -- Governor Chiles, for example, made far
23
      stronger antitobacco statements since this trial has
24
      been in progress.
25
                And if you want to talk about something, you
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20117
 1
      know, outrageous, during the trial, the tobacco
      industry, Philip Morris has started a hundred million
      dollar advertising campaign to disprove the obvious,
 3
 4
      that they replenish smokers who die and quit with
 5
      youths.
 6
                So, Your Honor is -- I think, to be
 7
     consistent with what Your Honor has done in the past, I
      would suggest that Your Honor simply asks, you know,
 8
 9
      the standard question that you ask all of the time.
10
      And there is no need to specify the State of the Union,
11
      you know, message.
12
               And to do what Mr. Heim suggests, we're
13
      looking for problems where none exist. Where none
      exist? There's nothing new in what President Clinton
14
15
      said. There has been talk and speculation. Well, the
16
      state's got all this government; what about the Federal
17
      Government?
18
                And like I say, there is simply no reason to
      elevate this to a cause celeb. And if you do it in a
19
      different fashion and then you get hands and then you
2.0
21
      take jurors separately out, it will -- it will elevate
22
      it to a cause celeb in the minds of jurors, and there's
23
      really no reason to do that. We're opposed to it.
               THE COURT: Well, the problem is, if one says
24
25
      how many watched and you've got to get into whether or
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20118
 1
      not they heard anything about tobacco-related issues,
      and assuming someone would raise their hand and say,
 2.
 3
      yes, I did, we don't know what they heard, we don't
      know how it affected them. It's a little different
 5
      situation than just the average, ordinary situation in
      the newspaper that comes up because somebody said
 6
 7
      something.
                MR. HEIM: Your Honor --
 8
 9
                THE COURT: I'm not even sure that what he
10
      said was the actual quote that was in the New York
11
      Times. That was a press release.
12
               MR. ROSENBLATT: He did a lot of ad-libbing,
13
      apparently.
14
                THE COURT: He always does. I didn't listen
15
      to it. I don't know what was said.
16
                MR. HEIM: Well, I did -- I listened to it,
17
      and the notes that I made, and I didn't make them as he
18
      was speaking, but I walked over and made them shortly
19
      thereafter. Actually, I got a telephone call from
20
      another lawyer who -- and I talked to him about what
      the President had said, and the notes that I made are
21
22
      pretty consistent with what appears in the paper.
23
                THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you what I'm
24
      concerned about. Under ordinary circumstances, they're
25
      going to raise taxes on tobacco. That's old news.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
1
      We're going to seek money from the tobacco industry.
      Basically it's old news. We might even file a lawsuit.
      That's basically old news.
 3
 4
                But if what he says was quoted accurately as
 5
      an actual quote or a facsimile thereof, regarding youth
 6
      and the characteristics of the speech there, I would be
 7
      somewhat concerned about that.
 8
                MR. HEIM: And it is, Judge.
 9
                THE COURT: So I'm going to have to ask
10
      somebody else.
               MR. HEIM: My notes, and I'll just read you
11
12
      the capsule notes I made last night, it said -- and I'm
13
      reading from my notes -- kids have been the target of a
14
      massive media campaign to hook them on cigarettes.
15
      That's from my notes, and that sounds pretty
16
      consistent --
17
               THE COURT: Well, if he actually said those
18
      words, and I suppose -- how many people here watched
19
      this? How many people here remember that quote?
                MR. CHUMBLEY: Your Honor, I can represent as
20
21
      an officer of the Court that he did make comments as in
22
      the New York Times about targeting youth.
23
                MR. ZACK: Likewise, Your Honor.
24
                THE COURT: You folks didn't watch it either
25
      on the plaintiffs side?
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20120
 1
                No.
                MR. ROSENBLATT: Mr. Lucoff is raising his
 2.
 3
      hand, but I suggest you not call on him.
                THE COURT: What did you hear him say?
 4
                MR. LUCOFF: I heard what Mr. Heim said.
 5
 6
                MR. HEIM: And then he also went on and
 7
      said --
                THE COURT: That's why I'm concerned, and
 8
9
      that's why I'm going to have to inquire from the jury.
10
                I don't want to make, as you say, a call
11
      celeb on it. I don't want to put undue emphasis on it.
12
      I think it's important to know whether they heard it.
      They may not have. They may have missed it. They may
13
14
      have been out in the kitchen at that particular time.
15
      Who knows?
16
                But let me find out.
17
                MR. ROSENBLATT: All right. Let me suggest,
18
      since that's your -- since that's what you propose to
19
      do, Judge, I would strongly suggest that you do it in
20
      stages; that you say, how many of you watched the State
      of the Union address? I mean, obviously, if no one
21
22
      raises their hand, I would think it's the end of the
23
      problem.
24
                But then the second -- I mean, I think it has
25
      to be thought out before we get them in, and I'm -- as
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20121
      I speak, I'm thinking out loud, and then I think
 1
 2
      perhaps the second stage question, you know, should be:
 3
      Did any of you hear in President Clinton's address last
 4
      night any reference to any tobacco issue?
 5
                It should be very generic, obviously.
```

```
THE COURT: Yes, I agree. And I intended to
 6
7
     do that even though you folks didn't raise the issue --
8
      if you hadn't raised the issue, I would have done it
9
               MR. HEIM: I have a little bit of a different
10
11
      approach from Mr. Rosenblatt, but I think the deputy
      wants to talk to Your Honor.
12
13
                (Discussion off the record.)
                THE COURT: The A.P. representative says they
14
15
     can pull out of their computer the actual wording that
16
      was used last night.
17
               MR. HEIM: That would be helpful.
18
               THE COURT: So if they can do it, let her do
19
      it.
20
               Okay. No. I think it's important enough
21
     that we inquire.
22
               MR. HEIM: Your Honor, my suggested approach
23
      starts out the same way as plaintiffs' counsel. I
24
     think the right way to start out is to ask the
25
     question: Did any of you see the President's address,
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
1
     without making any reference to tobacco. So I agree as
 2
      far as that goes.
 3
               I think the next question has to be, to make
 4
      sense, how many of you saw news reports about it or
     read about it?
 5
               THE COURT: I'll take care of it.
 6
 7
               MR. HEIM: And beyond that, the --
8
               THE COURT: I'll take care of it.
9
               MR. HEIM: But I think beyond that, if there
10
     are affirmative responses, people should be asked
     individually about tobacco, rather than have the whole
11
      jury hear it.
12
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Although, I would say --
13
               THE COURT: Don't want to get into a
14
15
      discussion with you on what I, as a Judge, should do.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: No, no.
16
17
               THE COURT: I'm just going to do what I think
18
      is appropriate to do it.
19
               MR. ROSENBLATT: That wasn't my comment.
               THE COURT: No. No.
20
               MR. ROSENBLATT: I was just going to make a
21
22
     prediction.
23
               THE COURT: A prediction.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. My prediction is that
24
25
     when you -- however many people say, when you get to
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
1
      the bottom-line question, which I assume is going to
 2
      be --
 3
               THE COURT: Don't predict. You get yourself
 4
     in trouble.
 5
               MR. ROSENBLATT: It's not going to influence
 6
     them.
 7
               THE COURT: How many people -- how many
8
     people are in the United States? What's the total
9
     population?
10
               MS. ROSENBLATT: 250 million.
11
               MR. HEIM: 240 million or something like
12
     that.
```

```
13
                THE COURT: 250 million. 60 million watched.
14
      Which means what?
15
               MR. MOSS: One-fourth.
16
               THE COURT: One-quarter. It would be
17
      interesting to see.
                MR. ROSS: Don't forget, a lot of us 250
18
      million are under the age of 6 or 7 who never would
19
20
      have watched it.
                THE COURT: Okay. Is the jury here?
21
22
                THE BAILIFF: One was short.
23
                THE COURT: We're short one. Let's take a
24
      short break, folks.
25
               Mr. Chumbley, are you still here? Why don't
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20124
      you get together with the clerk and discuss with her
 1
 2
      those sealed documents that --
 3
               MR. CHUMBLEY: I don't know anything about
      the sealed documents. That's Mr. Geraghty's issue.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Mr. Moss, do you want to talk
      with the clerk about those sealed documents, if you
 6
 7
 8
                (Recess.)
9
                THE COURT: Is everybody here that's supposed
10
      to be here?
                MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes.
11
12
                THE COURT: Okay. I guess we can get the
13
      jury out.
14
                THE BAILIFF: Bringing in the jury.
15
                (The jurors entered the courtroom.)
                THE COURT: I guess we're all here. Have a
16
      seat, folks.
17
                Before we begin, let me ask you a couple of
18
19
      things.
20
                How many of you folks watched the State of
21
      the Union address by President Clinton last night?
22
                Just two of you.
2.3
                JUROR 805: I hit the mute button the minute
24
      I heard him start to say anything.
25
                THE COURT: Just the two of you.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20125
                How many of you folks either watched the
 1
 2.
      television or read newspaper accounts of the
      Presidential Address, say, this morning?
 3
 4
                JUROR 331: I heard a little of it. Just a
 5
      little speech.
 6
                THE COURT: Heard a little or read a little?
                JUROR 331: Heard a little on the news today. THE COURT: On the news today. Okay.
 7
 8
9
                What I would like to do is talk to you three
10
      folks just a little bit more about that, if you don't
11
      mind. And I don't want any implication being raised by
12
      that procedure by any of you folks, because we really
13
      don't know what we're talking about at this point.
14
                So I need your number.
15
                JUROR 331: 333.
                JUROR 805: 805.
16
                JUROR 729: 729.
17
18
               JUROR 331: I'm sorry. 331 is my number.
                THE COURT: What is it?
19
```

```
20
                JUROR 331: 331.
21
                THE COURT: And you're 907. Okay.
22
                So, what I'm going to do is I want to talk to
23
      you folks individually, but, of course, what we talk
      about really shouldn't affect anybody else here.
24
25
                Okay. So why don't you just go back to the
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20126
 1
      jury room, and I'll call you out one at a time.
 2
                (The jurors exited the courtroom.)
 3
                THE COURT: Have a seat, folks. Okay. Let's
 4
      talk to 805.
 5
                THE BAILIFF: Judge, excuse me. Joette has
 6
      the screen here, the little computer from the A.P., if
 7
      you want to see this.
 8
                Do you want them in now, Judge?
 9
                THE COURT: Just 805.
10
                MR. HEIM: Your Honor, the reporter will help
11
      you here.
12
                THE COURT: I think I found it.
13
                Okay. All right. I'll work on that.
14
                Okay. Let's bring out 805.
15
                MR. HEIM: Your Honor, I'm sure the Court
      will, to the extent that the Court is satisfied with
16
17
      whatever response the jury gives, will instruct that
      juror not to discuss it with any of the others that are
18
19
      back there.
20
                THE COURT: Yes.
21
                THE BAILIFF: Bringing her in, Judge.
22
                THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.
23
                (Juror 805 entered the courtroom.)
24
                THE COURT: Have a seat.
                There's nothing to be concerned about. I
25
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20127
      really wanted to find out, since you either watched all
 1
      of it or part of it, did you recall anything in the
 2
 3
      speech by the President that may have any relationship
 4
      to tobacco and the tobacco industry?
 5
               JUROR 805: No. All I heard was advertising
      to young people, and when -- the minute I heard
 6
 7
      tobacco, I hit the mute button. I turned away. I said
      to my son who was sitting there, when they start
 8
 9
      applauding, let me know, because I figure that was
10
      going to be the end of that speech, because that's what
11
      they had been doing through everything.
12
                THE COURT: So what you heard was a rather
13
      brief statement regarding that?
14
                JUROR 805: Yes.
15
                THE COURT: But it did mention children?
16
                JUROR 805: Right.
17
                THE COURT: Whatever it is that you did hear,
18
      inadvertently as we understand, will that in any way
19
      have any affect or impact upon the way you decide any
20
      of the issues in this case?
                JUROR 805: I don't think so.
THE COURT: Did you see anything in the
21
22
23
      newspaper as a review of it?
24
                JUROR 805: No. I haven't looked at the paper
25
      this morning.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Or this morning, TV shows or
 2
      anything of that nature?
 3
                JUROR 805: No.
 4
                THE COURT: After the speech, there generally
 5
      is what we refer to as the talking head, resumes and
 6
      all of that.
 7
                JUROR 805: I didn't listen to anything after
 8
      he was through. I went to bed.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. So as far as you're
10
      concerned, nothing that was said last night will in any
11
      way affect your duty and functions as a juror in this
12
      case?
                JUROR 805: No, because I think that it's
13
14
      things that have been common knowledge that, you know,
15
      it's been brought up in here about the advertising and
16
      this type thing, and that was kind of what I heard when
17
      he said advertising to children.
18
                THE COURT: Any questions from either side?
19
               MR. HEIM: No, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right.
20
21
                Please, when you go back to the room, they
22
     want to know what we're talking about. It wouldn't be
23
      appropriate if you did discuss it with them. Just tell
24
      them you've been instructed by the Court not to discuss
25
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20129
 1
                JUROR 805: Okay.
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 2
 3
                (Juror 805 exited the courtroom.)
                THE COURT: Let's bring out 729.
 4
 5
                (Juror 729 entered the courtroom.)
 6
                THE COURT: All right, sir. You are number
 7
      729?
 8
                JUROR 729: Yes, sir.
9
                THE COURT: The reason I asked you is because
10
      I did want to talk to you a little bit about the speech
11
      you heard last night. Did you watch the whole speech?
               JUROR 729: No, I didn't. The part you're
12
13
      talking about I didn't see it. My wife mentioned it to
14
      me, but I didn't see it.
15
                THE COURT: What part are we talking about?
16
                JUROR 729: The part where the -- about the
17
      tobacco company and the man, that she said something
18
      about -- she told me this. She wasn't talking about
19
      it, because I was on the case. She just said Clinton
20
      said -- I'm quoting my wife. She said Clinton just
21
      said that the tobacco companies or something should pay
22
      for the insurance of people sick or something like
23
      that, but I didn't hear it or see it. But I did watch
24
      part of the --
25
                THE COURT: Well, you watched part of the
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20130
 1
      speech, but you didn't see that part?
 2
               JUROR 729: I didn't see that part, no.
 3
               THE COURT: After your wife had discussed
 4
 5
               JUROR 729: Well, we didn't discuss it.
```

```
6
               THE COURT: That's what I'm saying. Did you
7
     talk?
8
               JUROR 729: No, no, no. We never discuss it.
9
      She just told me about what he said, but we didn't have
10
      no discussion about it.
11
               THE COURT: And since that time have you seen
12
      anything in the newspapers or watched any show or
13
      anything?
14
               JUROR 729: No. We've seen some stuff about
15
      a week or so ago or two weeks ago, maybe more than
16
      that, we was in the jury room. We all got rid of it.
17
      We didn't read it. Somebody mentioned it in the --
18
      something was in the papers one morning. I think we
19
      told you that, and we didn't -- we didn't read it. No.
               THE COURT: Whatever it was that was
20
     mentioned to you about your wife regarding what she
21
22
      believed he said --
23
               JUROR 729: Yes.
24
               THE COURT: -- will that have any impact
25
     upon you as a juror?
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20131
               JUROR 729: Oh, no.
1
 2.
               THE COURT: Deciding any of these issues?
 3
               JUROR 729: No. That's why we didn't discuss
      it at all. She just mentioned it was on the part of
 4
      the speech. But I didn't see it. And it wouldn't have
 5
 6
      any.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. Any questions by either
8
      side?
9
               MR. ROSENBLATT: No.
10
               MR. HEIM: No, sir.
               THE COURT: They will what to know in there
11
     what we're talking about out here. It wouldn't be
12
13
      appropriate if you did.
14
                JUROR 729: No.
15
               THE COURT: Just tell them you've been
16
      instructed not to.
17
               JUROR 729: Okay.
18
               THE COURT: Thank you.
19
               JUROR 729: Thank you.
                (Juror 729 exited the courtroom.)
20
               THE COURT: Bring out number -- what was
21
22
      that?
23
               MR. HEIM: 907.
24
               THE COURT: Is it 907?
25
               MR. REID: 907 and 331.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20132
1
               MR. HEIM: 907 and 331.
 2
               THE COURT: Yes. It was 907. I wrote down
 3
      707.
 4
                (Juror 907 entered the courtroom.)
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. How are you, sir?
                JUROR 907: Pretty good.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: The reason I want to talk to you
8
      is you mentioned that you did watch at least some, if
9
     not all, of the President's speech last night?
10
               JUROR 907: Yes, sir.
11
               THE COURT: Did you see the whole thing?
12
               JUROR 907: Yes.
```

```
13
                THE COURT: And do you recall during the
14
      entire speech whether anything was said about tobacco
15
      or tobacco-related matters?
16
               JUROR 907: There was some things said there.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. What is it you recall
18
      hearing?
               JUROR 907: Relative to something about the
19
20
      government going after the tobacco companies and having
      to do with advertisement and children.
21
22
                THE COURT: What, if anything, will be the
23
      impact of what was said last night by the President
24
      upon you as a juror in this case?
25
               JUROR 907: The way I see it, the President
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
      is just, from the way I see it in this case, he's just
 1
 2
      another person with an opinion, and I just happened to
 3
      see it. He just happened to be giving the State of the
 4
      Union address.
 5
               To me, it will not influence me in any way.
               THE COURT: You understand our concern --
 6
               JUROR 907: Yes, sir.
 7
 8
               THE COURT: -- as to whether or not that will
9
      affect you or influence you in any way about any of the
10
      issues in this case?
11
               JUROR 907: Correct.
               THE COURT: Can you assure both sides in this
12
      matter that it will not?
13
               JUROR 907: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Did you discuss the President's
14
15
16
      speech along these lines with anyone?
17
               JUROR 907: No.
                THE COURT: Did you watch any other shows,
18
      we're talking head reviews and what happens usually
19
20
      after the speech?
               JUROR 907: I think it was mentioned this
21
22
      morning also on Channel 7. Usually when I get dressed,
23
      I watch the news.
24
               THE COURT: You watch it?
25
               JUROR 907: And I think they repeated what
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20134
 1
      the President said.
 2.
               THE COURT: Okay. Will that have any affect
 3
      upon you?
 4
               JUROR 907: No, sir.
                THE COURT: Did you read the newspaper this
 5
 6
      morning?
 7
                JUROR 907: It was there also.
 8
                THE COURT: Did you see anything in there?
                JUROR 907: Yes.
9
10
               THE COURT: So regardless of what
11
      communication media that you received this information
12
      from, can you assure us that you can set that aside --
13
                JUROR 907: 100 percent.
                THE COURT: -- and not let it interfere with
14
15
      your consideration to any of the issues?
16
               JUROR 907: Yes, sir.
17
               THE COURT: Any questions?
18
               MR. HEIM: No, sir.
19
               MR. ROSENBLATT: No, sir.
```

```
20
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
21
     Please, when you go back in there and they want to know
22
      what we talked about --
23
               JUROR 907: None of their business.
24
               THE COURT: You can't tell them -- I wouldn't
25
      say none of your business, but just tell them the Court
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20135
1
      has instructed you not to discuss it.
 2
               JUROR 907: Yes, sir.
 3
               THE COURT: Thank you.
               JUROR 907: Thank you.
 4
               THE COURT: And 331.
 5
                (Juror 907 exited the courtroom.)
 6
 7
                (Juror 331 entered the courtroom.)
8
               THE COURT: Okay. The reason I wanted to
9
     talk to you is because you indicated you either saw
10
      some of or part of or all of the State of the Union
11
      speech last or had some information regarding it.
12
               JUROR 331: Yes.
               THE COURT: So did you watch the whole
13
14
      speech?
15
               JUROR 331: No.
16
               THE COURT: Did you watch part of it?
17
               JUROR 331: No. What I saw was the -- a news
18
     reel this morning on NBC.
               THE COURT: Okay. So you didn't watch the
19
20
      speech itself?
21
               JUROR 331: No.
22
               THE COURT: You didn't watch all of the
23
      talking head shows afterwards?
24
               JUROR 331: No.
25
               THE COURT: But this morning on the news
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20136
1
     program?
               JUROR 331: Yes.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: What channel was it?
 4
               JUROR 331: NBC. Katie Couric and Matt
 5
     Lauer.
               THE COURT: Do you recall what, if anything,
 6
 7
     they did say on that show?
8
               JUROR 331: They showed a tape where I guess
9
     he was paying homage to his wife and there was clapping
10
     going on. And they also said -- showed the part where
11
     he said he would be suing the tobacco companies.
12
      That's all that I heard.
13
               THE COURT: That's what we wanted to find
14
      out.
15
               So something was mentioned about the tobacco
16
     companies?
17
               JUROR 331: Yes.
18
               THE COURT: Did that have any impact upon
19
     you?
20
                JUROR 331: No.
               THE COURT: Will it have any impact upon you?
21
               JUROR 331: No. Because immediately I heard
22
23
      tobacco company, I started trying to get away from it.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. It's not an easy thing to
25
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
JUROR 331: No.
 1
                THE COURT: Okay. But I think what each side
     needs to be assured of is that whatever it is you
 3
 4
      heard, in whatever context you heard it, will have no
 5
      affect on your ultimate decision on any of the issues
 6
      in this case?
 7
                JUROR 331: No.
 8
               THE COURT: Can you assure them of that?
 9
               JUROR 331: I'm sure of it.
10
               THE COURT: Any questions from either side?
               MR. ROSENBLATT: No, sir.
11
12
               MR. HEIM: No, sir.
                THE COURT: No.
13
14
                MR. HEIM: No, sir.
15
                THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you very
      much, ma'am. When you go back and they want to know
16
17
      what we talked about, you just simply tell them that
18
      the Court has instructed you not to discuss it.
19
                JUROR 331: All right.
               THE COURT: I appreciate it. Thank you.
20
21
               (Juror 331 exited the courtroom.)
22
                THE COURT: Well, I guess the battery ran
23
      out.
24
               The quote was accurate.
25
               All right. Any further need for any
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20138
     discussion?
 1
 2
               MR. HEIM: Give us just a minute, Your Honor.
 3
                (Discussion off the record.)
                MR. HEIM: Your Honor, first of all, I want
      to say, thank you for making that inquiry, because I
 5
 6
      think it was the right thing to do, and I think the
 7
      responses, you know, are what they are.
 8
                The only response particularly that gave me
 9
      any concern was the comment of the one juror about
10
      something being in the jury room.
11
               THE COURT: I was waiting for that.
               MR. HEIM: And I'm curious -- I would be
12
13
      curious as to what that was.
14
               THE COURT: My sense is that -- apparently
      they had a newspaper article, and there had been an
15
16
      article in the paper.
17
               And we had inquired that morning whether
18
      anybody had seen, heard or whatever because of the
19
      nature of the article that was in the paper, I can't
      tell you which morning it was, but when there was an
20
21
      article in the paper regarding tobacco and all that, we
22
      did make inquiries. And I can understand why they may
23
      have a newspaper in there.
24
               What I get from there, as soon as they saw
25
      something in there relating to tobacco, they discarded
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20139
      it and did not discuss it. And I'm satisfied they did
 1
 2
      exactly what that juror said they did.
 3
               But I will ask the bailiff at this point to
 4
      be more watchful regarding what they take in there,
 5
      newspapers.
```

THE BAILIFF: They bring the newspaper every 6 7 morning. THE COURT: I know, but you've got to check 8 9 the newspaper and you've got to see if there's anything 10 11 MR. HEIM: I think what we'll do, Your Honor, 12 to be helpful to the courtroom deputy, we'll look at 13 the newspaper in the morning and alert the deputies if 14 we think there's something in there about tobacco so it 15 makes it a little easier on the deputies. 16 I think -- I mean, I did have a great deal of 17 concern about this, because one of the issues the 18 President addressed was an issue for which we already 19 have a motion for mistrial pending with regard to youth marketing and advertising, and I know we're going to 20 21 take that up later this week. But I'm reassured by the one juror who said that that's the guy's opinion and, 2.2 23 in fact, that he's one person and he has an opinion and 24 he not only has an opinion, but he has a political 25 agenda. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20140 1 So, I think, given the comments that were 2 made, even though that one person with the opinion is 3 the President of the United States, at least for a 4 while --5 MR. ROSENBLATT: About two years. 6 MR. HEIM: Probably. 7 Now we have plaintiffs' counsel defending the 8 President. That's the first time he's done that. 9 MR. ROSENBLATT: No. I'm defending reality. 10 He's not going anywhere. MR. HEIM: But in any event, I don't think 11 12 there's probably anything more we can do with it. 13 THE COURT: Okay. I'm satisfied there's been no breach of court rules, regulations, regarding any 14 15 information getting to the jurors, either inadvertently 16 or directly, through the media or otherwise, that in 17 any way would affect the outcome of their 18 decision-making process. 19 The situation last night was unique. It 20 occurs only once a year. And the issue itself occurs 21 once only Lord knows when. 2.2 And it was briefly mentioned. It wasn't 23 really focused in on for any length of time. And I 24 think the jurors did what they indicated that they 25 should do and have been doing. As soon as they heard TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 1 it, they tuned it out. And I think that was the 2 essence of it. So I'm satisfied there's been no breach 3 of any sequestration issues. 4 MR. MOSS: We certainly will know how to 5 handle them in trial next year when he gives the State 6 of the Union. 7 MR. HEIM: That certainly --8 MR. ROSENBLATT: That's a scare tactic, 9 Judge. Obvious scare tactic. MR. HEIM: It was intended to scare me. 10 11 THE COURT: Shall we take an informal poll. 12 MR. ROSENBLATT: Well, that throws off all of

```
13
      the estimates of how many million people, you know,
14
      watch. That's two out of sixteen.
15
               THE COURT: Well, no. Actually -- that's
16
      right, because the others didn't. Instead of four,
17
      only two out of sixteen. And you were right.
               MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, sir. I would have taken
18
19
      the bet on the two or under was --
               MR. HEIM: If you think of how many adults
20
21
      there are in the U.S. that would likely be watching
      that speech, it may not be that far off.
22
23
               MR. MARTINEZ: I was watching cartoons. I
24
      thought it would be a little bit better.
25
               THE COURT: All right. So what do we do
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20142
      about the polls? All of the polls that go out, about
1
 2
      1600 people can decide what 250 million people are
 3
      thinking. That boggles my mind. It really does.
 4
                I know what the actuaries say.
 5
               Okay. Are we ready to proceed?
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes, Judge. With Walker
 6
7
      Merryman.
8
               THE COURT: All right. Let's bring the jury
9
      out and get going.
10
               (The jurors entered the courtroom.)
11
               THE COURT: Okay. We are ready.
12
     Mr. Silverman is now going to be Miss Merlo, I believe.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: No, no. It's Mr. Merryman.
13
14
      He can maintain his sex, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Maybe this afternoon.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: I'm going to bring in a
16
17
      woman to read -- an associate from my office, Maureen
      Markwith, to read Mrs. Merlo.
18
19
               MR. HEIM: Good. I was hoping that would
20
      happen.
21
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Or I was going to have
22
      Mr. Heim cooperate.
23
               MR. HEIM: In that case, I would be the
24
     reader.
25
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Definitely.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
1
               THE COURT: Okay. All that being said and
 2
      done, we are ready with the deposition of --
3
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Walker Merryman.
 4
               THE COURT: -- Walker Merryman.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: And it's spelled
 5
 6
     M-E-R-R-Y-M-A-N, Walker Merryman, taken in Washington
 7
      D.C., November 18, 1993.
8
                (The deposition of Walker Merryman was read
9
      to the jury as follows:)
10
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Beginning on Page 4:
11
          Q.
               Tell us your name, please, and your address.
12
               Walker Merryman. Home address is [DELETED]
          A.
13
14
          Q.
               Who are you employed by?
15
          A.
               The Tobacco Institute.
16
               What is your title?
          Q.
17
               Vice president and director of
          Α.
18
      communications.
19
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Go to Line 18 on Page 5:
```

20 How long have you had your present job with Ο. 21 the Tobacco Institute? 22 A. My present position, since, I believe, 1982. 23 Q. And you have been with the Tobacco Institute 24 starting when? 25 1976. Α. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20144 1 Q. Continuously from '76, up until today? 2 A. That's correct. 3 Q. Trace for me, if you would, the different 4 titles, the different lines of work that you have done 5 since 1976. 6 A. I was hired in 1976 as an assistant to the 7 president of the Tobacco Institute. In approximately 1980, I became director of communications. 8 9 Then in approximately 1982, I became vice 10 president and director of communications. 11 Q. Who hired you? 12 Mr. Horace Kornegay. Α. 13 Q. He was the president? 14 A. He was. 15 MR. ROSENBLATT: And now we go to Page 9, Line 24: 16 17 Q. Mr. Kornegay -- am I pronouncing that right? 18 A. Yes, you are. 19 He was president of the Tobacco Institute 20 from when to when? 21 A. Well, he was president when I arrived, and as 22 I understand it, he became president in 1971, I 23 believe. He retired from the institute several years 24 ago, five to seven years ago. 25 Who had been all of the presidents since you TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20145 have been there? After Kornegay came who? 1 A. Sam Chilcote, who is the president. 2 3 Really, you have only been with two who were 4 president? 5 That's right. Α. MR. ROSENBLATT: We go to Line 22 on Page 10: 6 Q. What is an average day for you? What do you 7 8 do? 9 A great deal of the time is spent responding Α. 10 to telephone calls from reporters who have inquiries on 11 a wide variety of subjects. Q. You personally do that, talk to reporters? 12 A. I personally do. Yes, sir. 13 MR. ROSENBLATT: Going to Line 20 on Page 11: 14 15 Q. In terms of responding to inquiries, how do 16 you answer inquiries which deal with specific -- a new 17 study that might have appeared in the New England 18 Journal of Medicine, the Journal of the American 19 Medical Association, that kind of thing, which is 20 basically antitobacco. And then they say, what do you 21 have to say about that article? 22 A. It would be typical for us not to respond 23 immediately. Because, typically we wouldn't have seen 24 the study. We would want to have some guidance from 25 someone who was expert in that area before we TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON

```
1 responded.
```

- Q. On such questions, who would you get the guidance from? Is there an in-house scientific person at the Tobacco Institute?
 - A. No, there is not.
- Q. So let's say a fairly technical article appears in the New England Journal of Medicine. It is obvious to you, as a layman, from reading it, it is very antitobacco. But you may not be familiar with all of the words or the jargon in the article.

So, what would be your source to help explain it to you better so you could answer an immediate question better?

- A. We might ask a consultant to read this report, this study, and tell us what it means. That is, of course, providing we have decided we wish to respond at all.
 - ${\tt MR.}$ ROSENBLATT: What's the next page?

MR. MARTINEZ: Page 26, Line 2.

MR. ROSENBLATT: 26, Line 2:

Q. Let's say -- let's say this happened in terms of a media inquiry. You get a call from the New York Times, and the reporter says: I got a package of cigarettes in front of me, and there's a warning on this package which says, Surgeon Generals' warning.

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

What does the warning say about cancer; do you know?

- A. There are four different warning notices that appear on the cigarette packs in the ads. I don't recall the specific wording of each one.
- Q. I think only one of them specifically mentions the word cancer. What does that warning say, to the best of your recollection?
- A. Surgeon Generals' warning: Cigarette smoking causes cancer, heart disease and emphysema.
- Q. And the reporter says to you: I got it in front of me. It says that cigarette smoking causes lung cancer and heart disease and emphysema. Is that true?
- A. I don't know if it is or not. It is certainly true that the warning appears.
- Q. And the reporter says to you: How can you do what you are doing if you don't know if it's true? If it is true, a lot of people are getting cancer from your industry and heart disease from your industry, and you don't know if it's true?

So, how can you do what you do?

- A. Because the American adult public certainly has a right to purchase product which is legal about which they are informed.
 - Q. How can they be informed when you're not TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

- informed? You don't know if it's true. How does a guy in the street who is on an assembly line at Chevrolet,
- 3 how is he going to know if you don't know?
- A. Various public opinion polls over the years have indicated in excess of 90 percent of the American

6 public know and understand what the Surgeon General and 7 others have said about smoking. And I think, 8 therefore, they are informed about the possible risks. 9 Q. As I understood your testimony, your bottom-line answer to the question whether or not 10 11 cigarette smoking causes cancer would be you don't know whether it does or it doesn't? 12 13 That is correct. 14 What effort have you personally made to find Ο. 15 out whether it does or doesn't? A. I have read a great many of the statements 16 17 made by scientists who have studied questions 18 surrounding smoking and health issues made before 19 Congressional committee hearings dating back to the mid 20 1960s, in which a great many questions about smoking 21 and health issues were explored. 22 Q. But every Surgeon General of the United 23 States since the early '60s, since about '64, has come 24 out, republican, democrat, very definitively stating that cigarette smoking does, in fact, cause cancer and 25 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20149 heart disease and emphysema. 1 2 What is your evidence on the other side of 3 the coin? As I said, there are a great many people in 4 science who study these issues, who testified before 5 6 Congress over the years who have questions about 7 whether or not it has ever been established that 8 smoking causes the diseases that it has been associated 9 with. 10 Q. Can you name any of them? 11 A. I would have to go back and look. It has 12 been a very long time. This is not an issue that comes 13 up with any great frequency at all. 14 Q. As you sit here today, are you aware of any physician unconnected to the tobacco industry who 15 publicly takes the position that it has not been proven 16 17 that cigarette smoking causes cancer? 18 A. No, I'm not. 19 Q. Have you ever heard of Dr. James Glenn? Does 20 that name ring a bell to you at all? 21 A. I don't believe so. 22 Q. What do you know about the Council for 23 Tobacco Research? 24 It is, to the best of my knowledge, an Α. 25 organization which exists to fund scientific research, TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED and it receives its funding from the tobacco industry. 1 2 Q. In the same manner that the Tobacco Institute 3 receives its funding, from the tobacco industry? 4 A. I don't really know. 5 Other -- other than the general statement, do you know anything specifically about the Council for 6 7 Tobacco Research or the members of any Scientific 8 Advisory Board of the Council for Tobacco Research or 9 what kinds of things they research? 10 I don't really have any specific knowledge in Α.

Well, for example, have you ever seen a

11

12

that area.

Q.

13 published study, formal, informal or unpublished, for 14 that matter, that was reduced to writing in perhaps an 15 informal memo form as to any research conducted by the 16 Council for Tobacco Research? A. I don't think so. Not if I understand your 17 18 question. 19 Q. Was there anything unclear? It is a little convoluted. If you can 20 Α. rephrase it, I might be able to answer it better. 21 22 Q. It is an all-encompassing question, 23 initially, as to whether or not you have ever seen 24 anything coming out of the Council for Tobacco 25 Research, either directly, or any study they ever TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20151 funded, where you saw any kind of document describing 1 2 what they were researching and what was the result of a 3 given piece of result? 4 A. The only thing I have ever seen is their 5 annual report which lists, as I remember, the research efforts that they are current funding, and I believe 6 7 some of the ones they have funded in the past. MR. MARTINEZ: Your Honor, excuse me. I 8 9 think that is a typo. I believe it's a typo. I 10 believe it's currently funding. THE COURT: Currently. 11 12 MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 31, Line 3: The Council for Tobacco Research would send 13 14 that to the Tobacco Institute, or would you have to ask 15 for it? 16 A. Apparently I'm on a list to receive it. 17 Q. And you get it? 18 A. Yes. Q. Annually? 19 20 A. Yes. Q. How long a report is that, about? 21 22 A. Well, I suppose it's 200 pages, perhaps. 23 Q. And in those 200 pages, what I'm trying to 24 understand is whether or not contained therein is ever 25 a report indicating the results of a given piece of TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20152 1 research? 2. A. I frankly don't recall. 3 Q. Do you have any concept of what the Council 4 for Tobacco Research -- what kind of research they 5 conduct, on what subjects? A. No, I really don't know what research they conduct. I don't think they conduct research 6 7 8 themselves. 9 Q. No. But in terms of the grants they give 10 out, in what areas are they giving those grants out? 11 A. I don't know. 12 Q. Had you read various Surgeon General's 13 Reports over the years? A. I have read some. 14 15 You would agree, would you not, they are 16 pretty definitive, the Surgeon General Report, saying 17 cigarette smoking does, in fact, cause these diseases, 18 cancer and other diseases? 19 A. Yes.

20 Q. How many Americans do you think have died as 21 a result of smoking cigarettes during the last 20 22 years? 23 A. I don't know. Q. Certainly you would agree some have? Or do 24 25 you think maybe it is zero? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20153 A. I don't know if it's accurate to place a 1 number on that kind of statement, since I don't know whether or not smoking causes disease in the first 3 4 place. 5 So it may be from what are you telling me 6 that cigarette smoking hasn't ever killed anybody, not 7 a single person, for all you know? Cigarette smoking may or may not be 8 Α. 9 responsible. 10 Q. It may or may not be responsible for either 11 zillions of deaths or for zero deaths; you just don't 12 know? 13 A. I do not know. 14 MR. ROSENBLATT: And we go to Line 21 on Page 33: 15 16 Q. You've worked for the Tobacco Institute since 17 1976? 18 Yes. Α. 19 And you don't know if cigarette smoking 20 causes cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other 21 diseases. How is someone, just an average person, how 22 do you say he is fully informed when you are obviously 23 not fully informed? 24 A. I think it is very clear from all of the public opinion surveys that have been done in this 25 TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20154 1 country many years that people are as aware as they possibly could be, I think, of what the Surgeon General 2 3 and others have said about smoking and health issues. 4 The fact that I may not agree with that sort 5 of definitive statement by the Surgeon General, I think, has no bearing on whether or not adult 6 7 individuals in this country are informed. 8 Q. But they are hearing from guys like you it 9 hasn't been proven. On the one hand, they are hearing 10 that it does; they look at the warning, it does, and 11 then they are hearing from an educated guy like you, it hasn't been proven, and all of the people say that it 12 13 hasn't been proven and the guy likes to smoke and he is 14 enjoying it. 15 So you provide him with a perfect 16 rationalization to continue smoking because it hasn't 17 been proven. Don't you see that? 18 A. I continue to believe wholeheartedly in the 19 right of an individual adult to make his or her own decision about whether or not to smoke. It is clear 20 people are fully informed about smoking and health 21 22 issues. 23 Any of the public opinion polls in this 24 country that have been taken on this subject over the 25 last two decades have certainly shown that. I think TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON

people have a right to receive information on controversial issues.

The fact that some may disagree about an issue, I think, is certainly vital and healthy to discourse in America.

- Q. It's not healthy to the guy smoking two packs a day that may develop lung cancer because he hears people like you say it hasn't been proven, and that's part of being fully informed. He's hearing people like you saying it hasn't been proven, maybe it's not dangerous to smoke and I enjoy it.
- A. I think everyone has a right to hear information from a variety of sources and to make their own decision about whether or not to smoke.
- Q. But you have no scientific information which says cigarette smoking does not cause cancer or heart disease; do you?
 - A. I know of none.
- Q. Let's look at the group of people. You told me, I think, that the hopefully informed in polls show, according to your testimony, that people understand that the scientific community and Surgeon Generals say cigarette smoking causes all these terrible diseases.

So wouldn't you concede the people who continue to smoke, in spite of being fully informed, TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

are addicted and can't help themselves?

- A. I would say only they made their own decision with respect to smoking.
- Q. If a person is addicted, by the very definition of addiction, he is not capable of making his own decision; he's addicted. He wants to quit, but he can't?
- A. As the Surgeon General says, in excess of 40 million people have quit smoking in the past 25 years, and the vast majority, 90 percent have quit on their own. I think that means people can quit smoking if they want to.
- Q. Obviously there are a certain number of people that can't quit smoking, or is it your opinion that anyone that really makes up their mind and has willpower can stop smoking any time they want?
- A. It seems pretty clear to me, based upon past experience, what we have seen in the Surgeon Generals' reports on this subject, that millions of people have quit smoking on their own, having made a decision to do
- Q. And how many millions of people have tried to quit and haven't been able to?
 - A. I don't know the answer to that question.
 - Q. How do you think you would function if you TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

- had to go about your business and do everything you
 would normally do, but you couldn't smoke a cigarette
 for the next week?
- 4 Do you think you could handle that?
- 5 A. I don't know. I have never done it.

6 MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 38, Line 6: 7 What do you do at the Tobacco Institute, 8 other than respond to media inquiries in terms of your 9 day-to-day functions and duties? A. I may be called upon to assist in preparing 10 11 material to be distributed to the news media, if an 12 event is to occur in which we are a participant and we 13 want the news media to know of it. I may respond to calls from the general 14 15 public for a variety of types of information that we may have available. Also a certain amount of reading 16 involved every day. 17 18 Like what? What are you reading? Q. 19 The general newspapers, news media, to 20 determine what stories that may involve us may be there 21 so we can be prepared for additional calls, if there 22 are any. 23 MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 39, Line 1: 24 What do you have to do with lobbyists, either Ο. 25 at the state, local or Federal level? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20158 1 Well, I know some of the people involved in dealing with state and Federal issues. I frankly don't 2 3 know which of them are or are not registered lobbyists. MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 40, Line 22: 4 5 I mean, a press release. For example, when 6 the EPA report came out condemning and talking about 7 the dangers of environmental tobacco smoke, did you 8 simply wait for inquiries, or did you, in a sense, 9 counter-attack? 10 A. In that particular case, we certainly had material available, which we did send to reporters whom 11 we believe who are interested in this issue. 12 13 Q. Is there anyone in the newspaper media at the 14 national level who has taken the position that the tobacco industry is being unfairly dealt with and that 15 16 it really hasn't been proven that cigarette smoke is 17 all that dangerous? 18 A. I don't know of anyone. 19 Q. Is that discouraging? Well, we provide the information and hope 20 that we at least get a fair hearing. 21 22 The only information you provide is that it 23 hasn't been proven. You just keep saying that. It is 24 not like when you say you provide the information, you 25 send them a study saying, look, here's a scientific TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED study done at a terrific medical school which proves 1 2 that cigarette smoking is safe. You never send them 3 that kind of information. 4 Α. I know of no such study. 5 Of course not. It doesn't exist; right? Q. 6 I know of no such study. 7 MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 46. 8 MR. SILVERMAN: What happened to 43? That's 9 out. 10 MR. ROSENBLATT: 46, Line 15: 11 Does the Tobacco Institute make political Q. 12 contributions?

13 Yes. I believe we do. A. Q. Is that done through your department? 14 15 A. No, it is not. 16 MR. MARTINEZ: 49. 17 MR. ROSENBLATT: 49, Line 15: 18 Q. From the time you first came with the Tobacco Institute, did they ever put out any kind of in-house 19 publication? I don't necessarily mean a fancy 20 magazine, but anything that would have gone to all of 21 22 the members? 23 A. Yes. I believe so. 24 Q. What was the name of it? 25 The Tobacco Observer. Α. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20160 MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 51, Line 7: 1 2 Is it fair to say the tobacco industry 3 opposes across the board any smoking ban in any public 4 building or restaurant? 5 A. What we support is accommodating smokers and 6 nonsmokers to the best extent possible. 7 Q. Could you answer my question now? 8 A. And we would rather not have legislators 9 dictating where and when it is appropriate for people 10 to smoke. We would rather not have legislators telling people who run restaurants that they may or may not 11 12 allow smoking. 13 We believe the democracy of the market place 14 can certainly take care of that. 15 Q. My question was: Is it the policy of the 16 Tobacco Institute and the tobacco industry to oppose all bans in all public buildings and restaurants? It 17 may be for the reasons you just expressed, but I didn't 18 19 ask you about those reasons. 20 A. Well, I can conceive of circumstances under 21 which we would not oppose a ban on smoking. 22 Q. I'm not asking you to conceive of 23 circumstances. I'm asking you as a practical matter, 24 since you have been with the Tobacco Institute, is it 25 not true, it has been the policy of the Tobacco TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 1 Institute to, across the board, oppose any proposed 2 smoking ban in any public building or restaurant? 3 A. I believe that's true. 4 MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 56, Line 8: 5 Q. What is tar? 6 A. Tar, t-a-r? 7 T-a-r. Is that a term you are familiar with? Q. 8 I am. It is condensate measured after a 9 machine-smoking method. The product of incomplete 10 combustion. It is measured in milligrams. 11 Q. What does that mean, condensate? 12 It is the product of the incomplete combustion of the cigarette. 13 14 Q. Why do so many cigarette advertisements 15 stress low tar? What is the difference between low tar and high tar? Who cares? 16 17 A. The low-tar cigarettes are generally those 18 that are below 15 milligrams yield per cigarette. 19 Q. But what does that mean to the well-informed

```
20
     consumer you keep talking about? This well-informed
     guy who sees this brand has 14 milligrams of tar, this
21
     brand has 6 milligrams of tar, what is the difference
22
23
      to this well-informed consumer?
               I don't know what the difference might be.
24
25
               Then why does the cigarette industry, in all
          Q.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20162
1
      its advertising, refer to the tar content in
     milligrams?
 2
 3
          A. I have always assumed that companies provide
 4
      a variety of products to their consumers, based on what
 5
      they believe their consumers want to buy.
 6
              Beyond that, I really don't have any
 7
     knowledge why a variety of products may be on the
8
     market.
9
          Q. I'm not asking about a variety of products.
10
     I'm talking about cigarettes. And I'm talking about
     why, based on your position in the Tobacco Institute,
11
12
      for all of these years, why you think various companies
      stress in their advertising that my cigarette is low
13
14
     tar, my cigarette is lower tar than the other guy's
15
      cigarette, and, therefore, you should buy my cigarette?
16
               Why do you think they do that?
17
          A. I really don't know why they do that. I'm
     not in advertising or marketing.
18
          Q. Never occurred to you to ask anybody?
19
          A. No, it has not.
20
21
               MR. ROSENBLATT: 64, Line 13:
22
          Q. Do you personally ever have occasion to
23
      testify before Congressional committees?
          A. I think I have done so once.
24
25
              Who usually does the testifying from the
          Q.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20163
1
     Tobacco Institute?
          A. I believe Charlie Whitley usually does the
 2
 3
     testimony.
          Q. He is the former Congressman from North
 5
     Carolina?
          A. He is a former Congressman from North
 6
 7
     Carolina.
8
          Q. So he goes up to the -- he goes up on the
9
     Hill and testifies quite frequently?
10
          A. He has.
11
          Q. Is he a full-time employee of the Tobacco
      Institute, Mr. Whitley?
12
13
          A. I don't believe he is an employee, no.
          Q. What is he?
14
          A. He is a consultant.
15
16
          Q. His office is right there, isn't it, at the
17
     Tobacco Institute?
18
         A. He does have an office assigned to him in the
19
     Tobacco Institute, yes.
20
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 66, Line 7:
21
               I'm showing you something called the Tobacco
22
      Institute Scope and Activities. It is a copy. I ask
23
      you if you have ever seen that before?
24
               My understanding is it is a copy of a
25
      brochure that the Tobacco Institute put out.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
1
          Α.
               Yes. It appears to be.
          Q. You have seen that before?
          A. I believe I have.
 4
          Q. Did you write this or any part of it?
 5
          Α.
 6
          Q.
               Would you know who did?
 7
          A. No, I do not.
8
          Q. Do you know what the purpose of this was?
               I mean, it looks to me like it would be
9
10
      intended maybe for the general public. I don't know.
11
              I believe it is, yes.
12
              And as a practical matter, how did this get
13
      to the general public? Was this only given to people
14
     who called and had inquiries, or was this disseminated
15
     on some wider basis?
16
          A. I don't know of any wide dissemination that
17
     was ever given. Personally, I would give it to someone
     who asked what the mission of the institute is.
18
19
               Is this still in existence? Do you still
          Q.
20
     have this now, this brochure?
          A. Either that or a version of it.
21
22
           Q. You mention you were involved with issues
23
      involving environmental tobacco smoke and its role in
24
      indoor air quality.
               The institute is a communicator of
25
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20165
      information and viewpoints on these and other matters
 1
      to the public, the news media, and government on a
 2.
      local, state and national level. What do you tell
 3
     people that call up and ask you about environmental
 4
 5
     tobacco smoke?
 6
               I would respond to specific questions.
          Α.
 7
               How would you respond to this question: I'm
     not a nonsmoker. I'm working in a place where everyone
8
9
      is smoking, and I don't like it and I think it's bad
10
      for my health. Is it?
11
          A. I don't know if it is or not. But I think,
12
      in any indoor environment, there are a wide variety of
     things which might contribute to the quality of the
13
     air. In that environment, tobacco smoke might be one
14
15
      of them.
16
          Q. Obviously you really have no solution for a
17
      person with that kind of inquiry?
18
              I don't have any specific solution to offer,
          Α.
19
      no, I don't.
               MR. MARTINEZ: 75, Line 9.
20
21
               MR. ROSENBLATT: You heard that?
22
               MR. SILVERMAN: No.
23
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 75, Line 9:
24
              Do you ever get involved in discussions about
25
      advertising?
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20166
              To the extent there may be proposals to ban
 1
          Α.
 2
     advertising, yes, sir.
 3
              How would you answer an inquiry from a parent
```

with very young children driving on the highway or driving within a city and they see all these Joe Camel

6 cartoons, and the kids think it is cute and you are 7 asked a question, why do you have these billboards all over the place where little kids can see them? Do you 8 9 think that's responsible? MR. ROSENBLATT: And you go to the top of 10 11 Page 76: 12 With respect to the advertising of the 13 product itself, I would simply say that there are a 14 number of products and services advertised that are 15 intended for adults to buy and to use. Cigarettes are one of them. 16 17 Inevitably, I suppose, people who either by 18 law or by social custom are allowed to purchase and use 19 the product are going to see advertisements for them. 20 That relates not to just cigarettes. 21 That would be your answer to the person who 22 is complaining about a huge billboard for Joe Camel 23 where little kids can see them? That would be the 24 answer you give? 25 Α. Yes. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20167 1 Q. You tell them about all these other products? 2 A. I provided my answer. 3 MR. MARTINEZ: Page 90, Line 23. MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 90, Line 23: 4 5 You are quoted in the Washington Post back in April of 1988 as saying: If we are attacked, we are 6 7 not going to roll over and play dead. The sooner our 8 adversaries learn that, the less difficulties they are 9 going to find themselves in. 10 I handed -- yes. You were quoted as saying: If we are attacked, we are not going to roll over and 11 play dead. The sooner our adversaries learn that, the 12 13 less difficulty they are going to find themselves in. 14 And my question is, what did you mean by 15 that? 16 MR. ROSENBLATT: You're on Line 20, Page 91, 17 The answer: 18 A. That we were certainly going to vigorously 19 defend our industry. And we certainly weren't going to allow our adversaries to win any issue without 20 21 opposition. Q. Who were your adversaries? Your adversaries 22 23 are all those people that are convinced that cigarette 24 smoking causes cancer, heart disease, emphysema and 25 other diseases. Those are your adversaries; right? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED It depends on the forum. Our adversaries may 1 2 be political, or they may be antismoking organizations. 3 It depends on the issue. 4 Your adversary is an individual, as well as 5 an organization, an individual who believes that 6 smoking is an evil curse on American society and it 7 causes nothing but pain, agony and death. A person who has that belief is your 8 9 adversary; right? 10 A. Maybe. 11 Q. Not maybe. How is that person not your adversary? He hates the tobacco industry because he or 12

```
13
      she believes it is an evil product which kills people.
14
     That person, an American who believes that, is your
15
     adversary, and you're not going to roll over and play
16
     dead?
17
               If that individual, as you described him or
18
     her, proposes some sort of regulatory or legislative
      action that is not in our interests, then we would,
19
20
      obviously, be in an adversarial relationship.
                MR. ROSENBLATT: That's all for this depo.
21
22
               THE COURT: That's it? If that's it, that's
23
      it. All right.
24
25
               MR. ROSENBLATT: My other reader is not here,
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20169
1
     but that's okay.
 2
               THE COURT: So, do you want to start with
 3
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Whatever. Whatever, Judge.
 4
 5
                I could have the young lady from my office
      here, you know, in five minutes.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Then let's take five minutes.
8
               MR. SILVERMAN: I'm done?
9
               THE COURT: As far as I know.
10
               (The jurors exited the courtroom.)
11
                (Recess)
12
               THE COURT: Did we finish Merlo?
               MR. ROSENBLATT: No. Stopped at 121, and we
13
14
     figured let the jury hear part of it.
15
               THE COURT: I guess we can do the first part
16
      and then break for lunch and then pick up the rest.
17
               Okay.
               All right. We need Mr. Heim.
18
               What we decided to do is go ahead and do part
19
20
     of the Merlo we've already been through and break for
      lunch. And after lunch, we'll go through the rest of
21
      it, because it's 100 and some odd pages. So that will
22
23
      take some time.
24
               MR. HEIM: Okay.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. So I guess we'll bring the
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20170
1
      jury out.
 2
               THE BAILIFF: Bringing in the jury.
 3
                (The jurors entered the courtroom.)
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Have a seat, folks.
 5
               All right. Now we're ready with Miss Merlo's
 6
      deposition. And the young lady is --
 7
               MS. MARKWITH: Maureen Markwith.
8
               THE COURT: Ms. Markwith is going to play the
9
     part of Miss Merlo.
10
               All right.
11
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay. Miss Merlo's
12
     deposition was taken December 7, 1993, in New York.
               (The deposition of Ellen Merlo was read to
13
14
      the jury as follows:)
15
               MR. ROSENBLATT: We begin on Page 3:
16
          Q. State your name, please.
17
          A. Ellen Merlo.
18
          Q. And your address?
19
          A. [DELETED].
```

```
20
              That's your home?
          Q.
21
          A. That's my home.
22
          Q. What is your business address?
23
          A. 120 Park Avenue, New York.
24
          Q. Who are you employed by?
25
          Α.
              Philip Morris, USA.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20171
1
          Q.
               How long have you been employed by them?
 2
           A. Almost 25 years.
 3
               MR. ROSENBLATT: And then we go to Page 11,
 4
     Line 8:
 5
          Q. You left California to go to Canada?
 6
              Well, I left California and came back to New
7
     York. I came to New York and set up a series of job
8
      interviews to get myself back to New York and wanted a
9
      job before I came.
10
               I was interviewed by several people and there
11
      was a position with Benson & Hedges Canada that was
12
      intriguing to me. I wanted to come back east and felt
      that that was a good way to do it. I took the job.
13
14
               Benson & Hedges Canada, Ltd. was a subsidiary
15
      of Philip Morris. Basically I went to work for Philip
      Morris, but I was in Montreal for about nine months.
16
17
          Q. And then you came back to New York?
18
          A. And then I was transferred back to New York,
19
     ves.
20
          Q. What did you do specifically during the
21
      period of time that you were in Montreal with Benson &
22
      Hedges?
23
               I was manager of -- basically I was
          Α.
24
      responsible for corporate relations. I wrote the
      in-house newsletters, news report, employee relations,
25
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20172
1
      some of our sponsorship programs.
 2
               We were sponsoring art shows, cultural
 3
      events, et cetera. I was involved with managing all of
 4
      those projects.
 5
               MR. ROSENBLATT: We go to Page 13, Line 18 --
 6
      you don't have to worry about talking into the
 7
     microphone.
               MS. MARKWITH: Okay.
8
9
               MR. ROSENBLATT: It will pick it up. You can
10
      just talk naturally. It will pick it up.
11
              Page 13, Line 18:
12
          Q. How was the transfer arranged from Canada to
13
      New York? I mean, was that the understanding when you
14
      went to Canada, that it was going to be temporary and
15
      when something opened up in New York, you would go to
16
     New York?
17
              No. I had been working on organizing a board
18
     of directors meeting that was held up in our Ontario
19
      facility and met a lot of the people that were in the
20
     New York office, and there was an opening in the New
21
      York office, and I guess some of the executives knew I
22
      was originally from New York and asked me if I was
23
      interested, and I was, so I transferred.
24
          Q. Did you meet Bill Campbell in Canada?
25
          A. Yes, I did.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

Q. Was he working in Canada while you were

1

```
working in Canada?
          Α.
               Yes, he was.
 4
              What was his title?
           Q.
              He was an assistant brand manager, I think,
 5
 6
     of Belvedere or Mark Ten or one of the cigarette
 7
      brands. I think probably a brand manager by the
     time -- either by the time I left or shortly
8
9
      thereafter.
10
               You and he worked out of the same office?
          Q.
11
          A. The same headquarters.
          Q. The same location?
12
              Yes.
13
          Α.
               During the period of time that you were in
14
          Q.
15
     Canada, did you have a lot of contact with him?
16
          A. Not really. I mean, I saw him frequently in
17
     the office. We knew each other. I wouldn't say we
18
     were friends.
19
               Did you work with him directly?
          Q.
20
               Not really, because my work really was much
21
     more concerned with our sponsorship and employee
22
     relations and writing the employee newsletter, where
23
     his job was much more marketing-focused.
24
          Q. Give me -- give me an idea of some of the
25
     kinds of sponsorship programs that you ran in Montreal.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20174
               The primary sponsorships that we ran in
 1
     Montreal had to do with our sponsorships of various
 2.
 3
     kinds of art. Had to do with art, sponsoring an
      up-and-coming new artist at a museum show or shows that
 5
     traveled around the country showcasing art.
 6
               We started an art-in-the-factory show. Most
 7
     of it had to do with cultural and art events.
               And then, shortly before I left, we got
8
     involved in sponsoring horse racing. I was not very
9
10
      involved because it came about and I left at about the
11
      same time.
12
          Q. Was that horse racing in Montreal?
               It was throughout Canada. It was just in
13
     Montreal. Montreal was the corporate headquarters for
14
15
      Benson & Hedges Canada. Whatever we did really applied
16
      to all of Canada.
17
          Q. Did Campbell also work for Benson & Hedges?
18
          A. Yes, he did.
19
          Q. Were these sponsorships that you've
20
     described, were they under the name of Philip Morris or
21
     under the name of Benson & Hedges?
22
          A. The art sponsorships were under the name
23
     usually of Benson & Hedges Canada, Ltd. In some cases,
24
      it might -- it might be done on behalf of a specific
25
     brands, like the Mark Ten something or the Belvedere
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20175
1
      something.
 2
          Q. Did Canada have particular brands that were
 3
      generally not available in the United States?
 4
          A. Yes.
```

Which were they?

Q.

```
A. Mark Ten, Belvedere, those are the two that
 6
7
     really -- I think we had Alpine and Canada, as well,
8
     which was available in the United States.
9
              I don't remember the rest of the brand line.
10
          Q. And --
11
          A.
              Those were the two main brands.
          Q. And were the top-selling American brands also
12
13
     available in Canada?
          A. No. Marlboro was not available in Canada. I
14
15
     think that was the only country that Philip Morris does
16
     not own the trademark.
17
          Q. How does that work?
18
          A. They just don't own it in Canada, which means
     they cannot advertise in Canada. The product is not
19
20
     available in Canada.
21
          Q. By law, it's not available?
22
          A. No. It would --
               MR. ROSENBLATT: No, no. Oh, go ahead.
23
24
25
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Oh, that's it. Just "no."
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
1
               Then we go to Page 19 -- Page 19, Line 19:
          Q. Okay. What's the policy now in the U.S. at
 2.
 3
     Philip Morris with respect to employees getting free
 4
     cigarettes?
              The policy is in the U.S., I believe, a
 5
     carton a week to those employees who wish to have them.
 6
          Q. Has that changed over the years?
 7
8
              As long as I remember being in the U.S.,
          Α.
9
     that's been the policy.
10
          Q. Are you a smoker?
          A. No, I'm not.
11
          Q. Never?
12
13
          A. Yes. At one point in my life I did smoke.
          Q. At what age did you first smoke?
14
15
          A. 18.
16
          Q. And you smoked until about what age?
17
          A. 25.
18
          Q. During the time frame that you were a smoker,
19
     how heavy a smoker?
          A. A pack, give or take, a day.
20
          Q. What was your brand?
21
22
          Α.
              When I started smoking, I smoked Pall Mall.
23
     And then I switched to Marlboro.
24
          Q. During the period of time that you were a
25
     smoker, were there time frames that you would quit for
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                       20177
     a while and then go back to it?
1
 2
         A. No. During the time that I was smoking, I
 3
     was smoking.
 4
          Q. Why did you stop?
 5
          A. I just didn't enjoy it anymore, I guess. I
     don't know. No particular reason at the time. I just
 6
 7
     kind of stopped.
8
          Q. Not a lot of thought or discussion?
9
          A. Not at all.
10
          Q. Do you remember what about smoking you didn't
11
     enjoy anymore? I would think something had to be --
12
     had to be bothering you.
```

13 Nothing was really bothering me. I just 14 stopped smoking. 15 Q. Was it a gradual stopping or, boom, just one 16 day you stopped? 17 A. As I recall, it was pretty -- I don't know if 18 it was -- today I do and tomorrow I don't. It was not 19 prolonged. Although even at this point, once in a 20 while, I will have a cigarette. 21 Q. Did you have any difficulty stopping? 22 A. Not at all. 23 And from the time you stopped at 24 approximately age 24, 25, with the exception of once in 25 a while taking a cigarette, you've been a nonsmoker? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20178 I think that's a fair way of categorizing it, 1 2 yes. 3 I mean, since you stopped smoking, I mean, 4 there's never been a day where you smoked as much as 5 ten cigarettes? 6 A. No. 7 MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay. We go to Page 25, 8 Line 6: 9 Q. Let me begin to trace with you from the time 10 you left Canada and you came to Philip Morris. I'm obviously going to be asking you about different jobs, 11 different functions, what you've been doing. 12 13 You left Canada, came to New York City to 14 work for Philip Morris in what year? 15 A. 1970. 16 Q. And what was your job when you began with 17 Philip Morris in New York? Administrator of product publicity for Philip 18 19 Morris. 20 Well, let me go back. There were -- there 21 was three months when I first transferred to New York 22 that I worked for Philip Morris International in the 23 New York office. 24 Q. What exactly is Philip Morris International? 25 It would seem to explain itself. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED A. It's the international division of Philip 1 2. Morris. It was strictly our tobacco operations in the world, other than in the U.S. 3 4 Q. So, anything having to do with the sale of 5 cigarettes or the manufacture of cigarettes in any 6 foreign country, any country other than the U.S. would 7 be under the aegis of Philip Morris International? 8 A. That's right. 9 Q. Is that still the set-up today? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Other than that brief period of time, have 12 you ever worked for Philip Morris International? 13 No. Benson & Hedges would have been 14 considered part of Philip Morris International. All 15 those years, plus altogether, would have been. 16 Q. After you left Philip Morris International, 17 you went to work for what entity? 18 A. Corporate. The corporate entity. 19 Q. Philip --

A. Philip Morris, Incorporated. 20 Q. And what did you say your title was, 21 22 administrator of product --23 A. Product publicity. 24 Q. What exactly does that mean? 25 That was the responsibility for publicizing TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20180 1 some of our sponsorship programs. 2 Q. Where would those programs have been publicized? 3 4 Newspapers, magazines, primarily. 5 When did the ban on television and radio go 6 into effect? 7 A. 1971. 8 Q. So in 1970, were you publicizing any of the 9 sponsorship programs either on television or radio? 10 A. Most of the work that I did was with 11 newspapers and magazines. I did not do anything with 12 radio or television. Q. Were you involved with advertising of the 13 product itself? 14 15 A. Then, no, not at all. 16 Q. Describe for me back then what some of the 17 sponsorship programs were? A. Virginia Slims Tennis. 18 19 Q. Did that start in 1970? A. That's correct. It started in September of 20 1970. 21 22 Q. And that's been continuing right up until the 23 present time? 24 A. With the four-year break, yes. 25 What happened during the four-year break? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20181 A. Virginia Slims did not sponsor -- Avon came 1 in as a primary sponsor, and we were not involved for 2 about four years. 3 4 Q. What does that sponsorship cost in the last 5 couple of years, to sponsor the Virginia Slims Tennis? A. The contract with the women's tennis 6 7 association for the last four or five years is, I 8 think, 5.3 million, something like that. 9 Q. Going back to around 1970, other than the 10 Virginia Slims Tennis Competition, what else was Philip 11 Morris sponsoring? A. Well, we owned Clark chewing gum at the time, 12 13 and we sponsored some events with Clark. We were involved with auto racing for Marlboro. We sponsored 14 15 horse racing, the Marlboro Cup. 16 Q. Where was that run? 17 A. At Belmont Park. 18 Q. Any other sponsorships? 19 A. I believe there was a ski sponsorship with 20 Benson & Hedges. Q. Did Philip Morris conduct studies to see if 21 22 those kinds of sponsorships increased the sales of 23 cigarettes? 24 A. No. 25 Q. Nothing scientific has been done on that? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON

```
1
          A. No.
          Q. Is that the main reason for sponsoring these
      sporting events, do you think, to basically make the
 4
      brands better known among the public, and to,
 5
     hopefully, increase sales?
          A. The main reason for sponsoring these events
 6
 7
     is to make the brands better known amongst smokers,
     adult smokers, in the hopes that if they're smoking,
8
9
     that they will choose that brand.
10
          Q. But, of course, there's no way to weed out
11
      the young kids who are watching the tennis matches and
12
      who are exposed to the brand name; is there?
          A. Well, I believe that in choosing
13
14
      sponsorships, we choose those that attract a
15
     predominantly adult audience.
16
              MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 31, Line 8:
17
          Q. Obviously, there are infinitely more people
18
     watching on television than are watching in person;
19
      correct?
20
          A. Virginia Slims has been sponsoring women's
21
     tennis since 1970. There was no television for women's
22
     tennis.
23
          Q. Until when?
24
              I think the first event was televised in the
25
     late 1970's and women's tennis has gotten sporadic
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20183
     coverage at best since then.
1
          Q. So, back in 1970, have you told me pretty
 2.
     much all of the different kinds of sporting events that
 3
     were being sponsored by Philip Morris?
5
          A.
               Yes.
6
              Other than sporting events, other than that
          Q.
7
     category, was Philip Morris involved in sponsoring
8
     other things?
9
          A. From my perspective, the division I worked
10
      in, I pretty much told you everything that I remember
11
      that we were involved in.
12
              Was there some other division that was
13
     handling the sponsorship of things other than sports?
14
          Α.
               Yes.
          Q. What was that division?
15
16
          A.
              The art/cultural affairs division, which
17
      sponsored our arts involvement, et cetera.
18
          Q. And who headed up that division?
          A. I don't remember.
19
          Q. Who was your boss in 1970?
20
          A. Frank Saunders.
21
22
          Q. And how long did he remain with the company?
23
              He retired 10, 12 years ago. I don't
          Α.
24
     remember exactly.
25
          Q.
               When did Bill Campbell come to the U.S.?
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20184
               It was about ten years ago, give or take. I
 1
          Α.
 2
     don't remember the exact date.
 3
              And what position did he have when he first
          Q.
```

I think it was executive vice president of

came to New York?

```
6
     marketing. It was the marketing slot in Philip Morris
 7
8
          Q. Could you describe for me the sponsorships in
9
     the cultural areas or art areas in 1970, even though
     you may not have been directly involved, so I'll have a
10
11
     handle on the kind of things that were being sponsored?
12
               I can't give you any specifics except to say
13
      that our cultural programs are sponsorships of the
      arts, whether it was ballet or art shows, et cetera,
14
15
      has been ongoing and existed back then. I don't know
      the details of the sponsorships.
16
17
              For example, was there a sponsorship of opera
18
      in New York, let's say?
19
          A. I don't know.
20
          Q.
              Ballet?
21
          A.
               I don't know.
22
               Was there sponsorship at some of the most
23
      famous museums in Manhattan such as the Metropolitan
24
     Museum of Modern Art?
25
          Α.
               I don't know.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20185
 1
          Q. How about libraries back then?
 2
          A. I don't know.
 3
           Q. How many divisions were there structurally
      from the standpoint of sponsoring events? I gather
 4
     there was kind of like a sporting division. Still
 5
 6
     talking about 1970.
 7
          A. There was Philip Morris USA, there was Philip
 8
     Morris International, and there was Philip Morris,
9
     Incorporated. And then --
10
          Q. Well, did Philip Morris International have
11
     anything to do with sponsoring events in the United
12
      States?
13
              Not in the United States, no.
14
               What's the difference between Philip Morris
          Ο.
15
      USA and Philip Morris, Incorporated?
          A. Philip Morris, Incorporated was made up of
16
17
      some of the corporate services, like public relations,
18
      et cetera, and Philip Morris USA was the individual
19
     brands.
20
               All right. Taking both Philip Morris,
          Q.
21
      Incorporated and Philip Morris USA back in 1970, what
      other areas, other than sporting events and cultural
22
23
      events, were sponsored by either entity, Philip Morris,
24
      Inc. or Philip Morris USA?
25
          A. None that I remember.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
          Q. How long did you remain administrator of
1
 2
      product publicity?
 3
          A. Until early 1972.
 4
           Q. And what happened in the early '70s?
 5
          A. I became assistant brand manager of Virginia
 6
     Slims.
 7
          Q. You were the assistant to who?
          A. John Granville.
 8
9
               What were your duties as assistant brand
          Q.
10
     manager of Virginia Slims?
11
          A. Primarily working on our promotion mall
12
     programs, the sponsorships like Virginia Slims Tennis
```

or developing promotions for the brands, consumer promotions.

Q. How does one develop consumer promotions for the brands?

A. It involves looking at and attending meetings with suppliers, seeing what's available and might fit.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

25

3

7

10

16

A. It involves looking at and attending meetings with suppliers, seeing what's available and might fit with the overall marketing objectives of the brand and then developing items that can be offered either as a self-liquidator or as something free with a purchase, et cetera.

Q. Give us an example of the suppliers we're talking about and the items that we would be talking about.

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

20187

- A. The items were anything from a T-shirt to panty hose, make-up brushes, a tool kit, those kind of things. The Virginia Slims engagement calendar has been going on since about 1972.
 - Q. These items are basically given away free?
 - A. Some are given away free. Some are what we call liquidated, sold for a price.
 - Q. How are you using the word liquidated in this context?
 - A. I'm using it in the context of the price of the item is passed along to the consumer. The consumer pays something to get the item.
 - Q. In your job as assistant brand manager of Virginia Slims, were you still doing pretty much the same thing insofar as the tennis tournament was concerned or were you out of that altogether?
 - A. I wasn't out of it. My job changed. I was not publicizing. I was handling most of the business side of what we were doing, negotiating our contract, and developing some of the materials that we used in conjunction with the event.
- Q. Do you get directly involved with negotiating the contract with the women's professional tennis organization?
 - A. Yes, I do.

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

- 1 Q. Were you the main negotiator on that for 2 Philip Morris?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- 4 Q. How has the cost of that changed over the 5 years?
- 6 A. Well, it's increased.
 - Q. What was the cost in the early '70s?
- A. Well, the first event was \$5,000, basically, and then it elevated over the years, obviously.
 - Q. Into the millions?
- 11 A. Into the millions, yes. As women's tennis 12 prize money grew.
- Q. In terms of sponsorship, is Philip Morris putting up any money toward the prize money as well?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. That's part of the cost?
- 17 A. That's part of the cost, yes.
- Q. Do you put up all of the prize money?
- 19 A. No, we do not.

```
20
          Q. What percentage?
               It depends. If it's a Virginia Slims
21
          Α.
22
      tournament, we might put up anywhere from 50 to 80
23
      percent of the prize money, depending on what the
      agreement is with the promoter of the events. If it's
24
25
      a non-Virginia Slims event, then we don't put up any of
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20189
1
      the prize money.
 2
              MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 40, Line 22:
 3
          Q. And in terms of your career at Philip Morris,
 4
     what happened in 1974?
 5
          A. I became brand manager of Alpine.
              To a smoker, what's the difference between
 6
          Q.
 7
     Virginia Slims and Alpine?
8
          A. Alpine was a menthol only and still is a
9
     menthol only offering. Virginia Slims offers a fuller
10
     range of choices.
11
          Q. I assume this was a move up the ladder for
12
     you, both in terms of profession and in terms of going
13
      from assistant brand manager of Virginia Slims to brand
14
     manager of Alpine?
15
               MR. ROSENBLATT: You can answer that.
16
          A.
               Yes.
17
               MR. ROSENBLATT: And then --
18
               MR. HEIM: Bottom of the page.
19
               MR. ROSENBLATT: The bottom of 41:
20
               Who did you report to?
          Q.
21
          Α.
               I believe that the VP of brand -- at that
22
      time was Jim Morgan.
23
          Q. Jim Morgan?
24
          A. Uh-huh.
25
              Is he still with Philip Morris?
          Q.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20190
1
          A. Yes.
          Q. In what capacity?
 3
          A. Senior vice president of marketing.
          Q. Located in New York?
 5
          A. Uh-huh.
             Okay. What were your duties as brand manager
 6
          Q.
 7
     of Alpine?
8
          A. I was responsible for developing the overall
9
     marketing plan for the brand and supervising the
10
     advertising, development of advertising, placement of
11
      advertising, media selection.
12
          Q. Did you have an outside advertising agency?
13
          A.
              Yes.
          Q. Who was that?
14
          A. Leo Burnett.
15
16
          Q. Is that the guy who came up with, "Come to
     Marlboro Country"?
17
18
          A. Yes.
19
          Q. Is he still living?
          A. No, he's not.
20
              Back in 1974, what was a better seller,
21
          Q.
22
     Alpine or Virginia Slims?
23
          A. Virginia Slims.
24
          Q. And part of your job was developing the
25
     marketing plan for Alpine. What was the marketing
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
1
     plan?
              Alpine at that time was marketed with S&H
     Green Stamps. You bought a pack of Alpine, you get X
 4
     number of S&H Green Stamps, and you could pick
      something out of the catalog.
5
 6
          Q. Was there any other component to the
 7
     marketing plan, other than the S&H Green Stamps?
8
          A. Advertising.
9
           Q. What was the thrust of the advertising? I
10
     seem to remember people skiing. Was that the motif,
     Alpine country?
11
12
          A. It was just a mountaintop. It was not actual
13
      skiers, but it was mainly the mountain.
14
          Q. Like Marlboro country with snow?
15
               Your words.
          A.
16
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 44, Line 2:
17
          Q. How long did that exist for Alpine?
18
          A. I don't know the answer.
19
               MR. ROSENBLATT: We go to Page 48, Line 13:
          Q. Why in the advertising is it so often
20
      stressed, lower tar and nicotine?
21
          A. It's a taste claim.
22
23
               MR. ROSENBLATT: And then continue your
24
     answer on Line 22:
               I believe it is a taste claim. There are
25
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20192
     varying taste levels and different levels within a
1
      cigarette that produces different taste. I believe
 2
      that's what's being conveyed to the smoker.
 3
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 50, Line 11:
 4
 5
          Q. What is -- what is Philip Morris's policy
 6
      about advertising in newspapers at the present time?
 7
          A. We do use newspapers on occasion.
               Within the last three years or so -- within
8
9
     the last three or so years, what would you say are the
10
     newspapers Philip Morris advertises most recently in in
11
      the newspaper category?
12
               I would say the major dailies around the
          Α.
13
      country.
14
              Are there newspaper that will not accept
15
      Philip Morris advertising?
16
          A. I know there's a newspaper in Washington that
17
      has recently said they will not accept advertisings. I
18
      don't know of others personally.
19
               Which paper is that?
          Ο.
               I don't know which one it is, but it's in
20
          Α.
21
      Washington State.
22
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Going to Line 15 on Page 51:
23
              Are there any major national magazines that
24
     refuse to accept Philip Morris advertising?
25
              Readers' Digest does not accept, the New
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20193
     Yorker does not accept, and I believe Good Housekeeping
 1
 2
     does not accept cigarette advertising.
 3
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 55, Line 6:
 4
           Q. Can you tell me, in the heyday of radio, what
      specific programs Philip Morris sponsored?
```

```
6
          Α.
              No, I can't.
 7
          Q. Can you tell me any?
8
          A. No.
9
               Same question with respect to television. Do
          Q.
     you remember any of the television shows or programs
10
11
     that were sponsored by Philip Morris?
          A. Well, I know that Philip Morris sponsored I
12
13
     Love Lucy and Mission Impossible.
14
          Q. Do you remember which brand sponsored I Love
15
     Lucy?
16
          Α.
              Philip Morris.
17
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 60, Line 25:
               So other than advertising, then, what would
18
19
     have been your focus when you were with Benson &
20
      Hedges?
21
               Promotion, consumer promotion, incentive
          Α.
22
      items, sweepstakes, those types of programs.
23
          Q. And if someone wanted to learn the history of
24
      the items, the give-aways, the commodities, Philip
25
      Morris retains a library on all of that stuff?
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20194
1
          A. Yes.
 2.
          Q. Explain the sweepstakes.
 3
          A. It was called Benson & Hedges 100
 4
      Sweepstakes. It was obviously a play off of the length
      of the cigarette. It offered 100 prizes in increments
 5
      of 100. So 100 hours of something or 100 inch long
 6
 7
      something or 100 dozen eggs. That was the concept.
8
          Q. What were the top prizes?
9
          A. It varied. It could be an automobile or a
10
          Q. What did someone have to do to enter the
11
12
      sweepstakes?
13
              Just fill out an entry blank and send it in.
          Α.
14
               No money had to be sent in?
          Q.
15
          A. No.
16
          Q. Does Philip Morris retain lists of all of the
17
     people who have entered sweepstakes?
18
          A. At that time, we absolutely did not have any
19
     lists, no.
          Q. How about since?
20
               There's a database.
21
22
               Well, for example, more recently, Marlboro
23
      advertises a lot of items, and people send in things
24
      for products.
25
          A. That's right.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20195
1
          Q. That's been very successful; hasn't it?
 2
          A. It's been successful.
 3
          Q. And what is the mechanism whereby Philip
 4
     Morris retains the names of all of the people that send
 5
     in stuff?
 6
          A. We have a database.
 7
              Meaning, as I understand it, a database that
      there's a means whereby Philip Morris can call up the
 8
9
     names of anybody who's ever sent in one of the entry
10
     blanks or asked for a free product?
11
          A. If they're a qualified smoker, yes.
12
          Q. What is an incentive promotion?
```

```
13
              As I described before, it could be a T-shirt.
14
     It could be a lighter.
15
          Q. What was your next position after Benson &
16
     Hedges?
17
          A. Director of merchandising materials.
18
              MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 64, Line 11:
          Q. What does the director of merchandising
19
20
     materials do?
21
          A. Develop point of sale materials and displays.
22
          Q. Okay.
23
          A. Develop and produce.
24
          Q. Is that pretty much it?
25
              That's it.
          Α.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
          Q. You've got to tell me what point of sale
1
 2
     materials and displays are.
 3
          A. Clocks, signs, displays that hold product,
 4
     when you walk into a store and you see a display.
 5
          Q. That names Marlboro or Parliament?
 6
          Α.
               Exactly.
 7
          Q. How do you go about getting those displays
8
     into the stores; how does that work?
9
          A. Our sales force places them.
10
          Q. Does the store pay you or do you pay them?
11
              It depends. The store doesn't pay us. Many
     of them are placed without any charge at all, without
12
13
     any fee being exchanged.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: And we go to Line 20 on Page
14
15
16
          Q. When there is payment, it's Philip Morris
17
     that does the paying?
              That's correct.
18
          A.
19
          Q. Why would Philip Morris pay? In some
20
     instances, because the storekeeper would demand
21
     payment?
22
              Philip Morris would only pay for a display
23
     that contained product. Not for a sign.
24
          Q. Now, I see when I walk into different kinds
25
     of stores, there are these racks where they can keep,
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
1
     it seems, 50, 60 brands. Does Philip Morris give those
 2
     out?
3
          A. In some cases.
 4
          Q. Where does Philip Morris get those racks
 5
     from, or does Philip Morris manufacture them directly?
 6
         A. No. We would work with KOS designers,
7
     producers. There are companies that produce displays.
8
          Q. Other than clocks, signs and the racks, are
9
     we talking about anything else?
10
          A. Decals, ashtrays.
11
          Q. It's simply another form of advertising?
12
          A. That's correct.
          Q. How large a sales force are you talking about
13
14
     when you were director of merchandising materials?
          A. About 1,500 people.
15
16
          Q. All over the country?
17
          A. Maybe a little less.
18
          Q. All over the country?
          A. All over the country.
19
```

20 And I assume, tell me if I'm correct, that 21 their job is that they become rather sophisticated as 22 to what is a desirable location, the kind of location 23 that Philip Morris would want to be in, and then they talk to store owners and try to get them to accept a 24 25 display? That's essentially what they do? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20198 1 That's correct. 2 MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay. Page 72, Line 8: 3 What did you do to prepare for today's deposition, if anything? Did you have meetings? 4 I had some meetings. 5 A. 6 Q. With who? 7 A. My lawyer. 8 Q. Just one lawyer? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. How many meetings? 11 A. Two or three. Q. When was the most recent meeting? 12 A. We had breakfast together today. 13 MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 73, Line 11: 14 15 Q. Getting back to your new position as director 16 of the events group --17 A. Yes. -- what did that involve? 18 19 That involved developing sponsorship 20 opportunities and publicizing those that were 21 involved -- that we were involved in, preparing 22 materials, et cetera. Q. Why would you want to publicize the events 23 24 that you were involved in? For the image of Philip 25 Morris? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20199 A. Not primarily. If we were sponsoring an 1 event and we wanted that event to be successful, the 2 3 way of getting visibility for the event is creating publicity for it. 5 Part of your job was to create publicity for Q. 6 the event? A. For the event. 7 Q. And how would you go about doing that? 8 9 A. Creating press materials, sending them out to 10 writers. 11 Q. In the area where the event was occurring? 12 A. Primarily. 13 MR. ROSENBLATT: Line 23, Page 74: Q. During what time frame have you been director 14 15 of the events group? 16 A. 1982 to about 1983, the beginning of '84. A 17 little over a year. 18 Q. And tell me all of the events you remember 19 sponsoring. 20 A. Well, Virginia Slims Tennis, we had Marlboro 21 Country Music, we had something called the Merit 22 Opinion Poll, the Virginia Slims Opinion Poll, those 23 major -- Marlboro Ski Challenge and the Marlboro Cup, 24 the horse racing. 25 MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 79, Line 4: TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON

```
1
          Q. I want to know in terms of some of the major
     events, tell me the location of some of these major
     events.
 4
              Marlboro Music was primarily in the
 5
     southeast/southwest, Houston, Oklahoma City, Kansas
 6
     City, Nashville.
 7
          Q. What did it cost to sponsor Marlboro Music?
          A. I'd say about four to five million dollars a
8
9
    year.
10
          Q. Where was the tennis events occurring?
11
          A. Also various places. San Francisco, Los
     Angeles, Dallas, Philadelphia, South Florida, Boston.
12
13
          Q. And the cost of that each year?
          A. It varied.
14
15
          Q. About four or five million?
16
          A. Yes.
17
          Q. What other major events? We've talked about
18
     tennis and music.
19
          A. Marlboro Skiing, Ski Challenge, Marlboro Cup
20
     Auto Racing, the polls.
21
          Q. What was the location of the skiing events?
22
          A. Various ski mountains across the country.
23
          Q. What did that cost each year?
24
          A. About one million dollars.
          Q. And the sponsorship of the auto, where did
25
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                       20201
1
     that occur?
          A. That didn't start until later. Horse racing,
 2.
 3
     we had Marlboro Cup horse racing when I was involved.
          Q. At Belmont Park?
5
          A.
              Yes.
 6
          Q. What was the cost of that sponsorship?
7
               About one million dollars.
          Α.
          Q. What was the particular brand involved with
8
9
    skiing?
10
          A. Marlboro.
11
          Q. And the particular brand involved with the
12
     auto sponsorship?
          A. We didn't have auto sponsorship at that time.
13
14
          Q.
              That came later?
15
          A. Yes.
          Q. Music was Marlboro?
16
17
          A. That's correct.
18
         Q. Tennis was Virginia Slims?
         A. That's correct.
19
         Q. And the horse racing, what brand?
20
          A. Marlboro.
21
22
          Q. So what was your next job after being
23
     director of the events group?
24
          A. Group director of brand management.
25
               MR. ROSENBLATT: And then we go to Page 92,
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                       20202
     Line 6:
1
 2
          Q. I'd like you to describe some of the
 3
     promotional programs that you actually developed.
          A. Me, personally?
 5
          Q. Your department or you personally.
```

6 We developed something called Benson & Hedges Signature Collection, which was a collection of items, 7 8 and each collection could be different. I think the 9 first one was a portfolio and a tote bag and a suit 10 11 For proof of purchase, you could send them 12 in, and with a sum of money, get one or more of the items. 13 14 Q. That's one promotion. Tell me about some 15 others. A. Well, I mean, we used to develop things as 16 simple as a lighter or a T-shirt or cap that might go 17 18 on a pack of cigarettes. We developed sweepstakes 19 ideas. 20 Q. In terms of the items of wearing apparel, 21 like a T-shirt or a cap, what brands would be on them? A. It would depend on which brand requested 2.2 23 promotional support. It could be Parliament. It could 24 be Marlboro. It could be Benson & Hedges. It could be 25 Virginia Slims. TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 1 Q. But at one time or another, all these various 2 brands have used that? 3 A. Have used promotional items, yes. Q. With their names on it? 4 5 Sometimes with their name on it. Sometimes Α. 6 with their name just on the label or not on it. 7 Q. Describe for me some of the sweepstakes that 8 you have not already talked about. 9 A. We worked on something for Benson & Hedges 10 that promoted the menthol packing, which was a Long 11 Green Sweepstakes, and all of the items were green. 12 I can't remember any other sweepstakes idea 13 specifically. Q. In terms of items given away free, we've 14 talked about caps and T-shirts. What other items? 15 A. Lighters, umbrellas. 16 17 Q. Umbrella with a logo on them? 18 A. Uh-huh. Ashtrays. Q. How about trips? 19 A. I don't remember any trips at that period. 20 21 Q. Okay. 22 A. Maybe for Virginia Slims to Wimbledon, there 23 may have been a sweepstakes for Wimbledon. Q. What is the policy of Philip Morris giving 24 25 out free cigarette samples at sporting events or music TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20204 1 events? 2 A. It depends on the local ordinances. And then 3 within that, it's strictly to adults over the age of 21 who indicate that they are smokers. 5 Who do you hire to give out those samples, 6 just local people? 7

- A. Sometimes we use our local sales force and sometimes we use local merchandising forces in the field.
- 10 Q. Do you have catalogs describing the various 11 merchandising materials that people can get?
- 12 A. Merchandising materials are used only by our

8

sales force. It's not available to consumers. 13 14 Q. I may be using the wrong term. A description 15 of those items which are, in fact, available to 16 consumers, do you have a catalog on that? 17 A. During the promotional period, there would 18 be -- if it were a catalog offering, a catalog would be 19 made available. MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 98, Line 5: 20 21 What does the warning say about cancer on 22 your packages of cigarettes? 23 A. There are several warnings. 24 There's only one warning that talks about Ο. 25 cancer; isn't there? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20205 1 A. May cause. 2. Q. The warning says that, what, cigarette 3 smoking may cause cancer? 4 A. Emphysema and birth defects, I think. 5 There's a pregnancy warning on there, as well. MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 99, Line 1: 6 Q. What is the information you've read? 7 8 A. I've read articles, various things. 9 Q. Can you name one specifically? 10 A. Not really, no. 11 MR. ROSENBLATT: Line 17: 12 Wouldn't you agree, in all honesty, that the 13 vast consensus of the medical opinion in this country 14 is that cigarette smoking does cause lung cancer, heart 15 disease, emphysema and other diseases? 16 A. I agree that there's a lot of information out 17 there that supports that theory. Q. Well, in view of that, what do you say to a 18 19 smoker who asks you, is the Surgeon General's warning 20 accurate? A. I say I don't know. 21 22 To your knowledge, does anyone in the tobacco Ο. 23 industry know? Does any one at Philip Morris know? 24 A. I don't know. 25 Q. Have you ever asked anyone at Philip Morris? TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 20206 1 Have you ever asked anyone at Philip Morris, any 2. scientific person, or any other person, whether the Surgeon General's warnings about the dangers of 3 4 cigarette smoking are true? 5 I have discussed with people at Philip Morris 6 the evidence that may or may not be out there. I 7 believe that it has not been scientifically established 8 that cigarette smoking causes any of those diseases. 9 MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 103, I asked part of 10 the question on Line 11: 11 Q. And so, I mean, on what basis are you saying 12 that it hasn't been scientifically proven? 13 MR. ROSENBLATT: And you answer it on Line 14 15 I believe that it has not been scientifically Α. 16 established -- there has not been any animal testing. 17 It's all epidemiology. 18 They're entitled to their conclusions. I 19 don't agree with them.

```
20
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 105, Line 3:
21
               What did the other mandated warnings deal
22
     with?
23
          A. Carbon dioxide, pregnancy.
24
              Would it surprise you to learn that the
          Q.
25
     warning that refers to cancer does not say that smoking
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                       20207
1
     may cause cancer?
 2
          A. It wouldn't surprise me, no.
 3
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 107, Line 14:
 4
              Okay. What do you know about the Council for
 5
     Tobacco Research?
 6
          A. Not very much.
 7
          Q. Do you know Dr. James Glenn?
8
          A. No, I do not.
9
          Q. What dealings do you have with the Council
10
     for Tobacco Research?
11
          A. None whatsoever.
12
          Q. Zero?
              Zero.
13
          Α.
          Q. Do you know anything about the research they
14
15
    conduct?
16
          A. I do not.
17
          Q. Do you know whether the Council for Tobacco
18
    Research itself conducts research?
          A. I do not know any of the details.
19
              What is Philip Morris's relationship to the
20
21
     Council for Tobacco Research?
          A. I don't know.
22
23
          Q. Do you know whether or not Philip Morris
24
     funds the Council for Tobacco Research?
25
              I don't know.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                       20208
          Q. You're not involved with that at all?
1
          A. Not at all.
 2
              If the Council for Tobacco Research
 3
     periodically sends to Philip Morris literature,
 5
     memorandums, annual reports, results of any research,
     do you get to see any of that?
 6
          A. I've not seen any of it, no.
 7
          Q. You've never seen any of it?
8
          A. No, I have not.
9
10
              MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 112, Line 14.
11
          Q. Had you ever heard of special projects in
    connection with the Council for Tobacco Research before
12
13
     you read the Wall Street Journal article?
          A. No, I have not heard of it before.
14
15
          Q. Do you know anything about special projects?
16
          A. No, I don't.
17
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 115, Line 7:
18
          Q.
               What is Philip Morris researching in
19
     Richmond; do you know?
              Specifically, I do not.
20
          Α.
          Q. Even in a general way?
21
22
               I don't know what projects they're involved
23
     with. I don't get involved in that area.
          Q. At all?
24
25
          Α.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
1
          Q. Generally, if I were to ask you like in the
 2
      last 10, 15 years, what did they do at research and
      development at Richmond, could you tell me even in a
 3
 4
      general way?
 5
          A. I don't believe I'm qualified to comment on
 6
      what they do in Richmond.
 7
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 116, Line 8.
8
           Q. What is the Tobacco Institute?
9
          A. It's the industry group, trade organization
10
      that represents all of the members of the tobacco
      industry on various issues.
11
          Q. What dealings do you have with them?
12
          A. I represent Philip Morris at management
13
14
      committee meetings of the Tobacco Institute.
15
          Q. How often are their meetings held?
16
          A. Approximately once a month, although not
17
      always.
18
          Q. Where are they held?
19
          A. Usually in Washington, at the Tobacco
      Institute headquarters.
20
          Q. Who attends?
21
22
          A. Various representatives from the
23
     participating companies.
24
          Q. Who chairs those meetings?
25
               Sam Chilcote.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20210
          Q. President?
 1
 2.
          A. Yes.
 3
          Q. Anyone else on behalf of the Tobacco
     Institute generally attend the management committee
 5
     meetings?
 6
          A. Yes. Curt Malmgren, who heads up state
 7
     activities; Bob MacAdam, who works on special products;
     Brennan Dawson. Those are the primary people that are
8
9
     involved.
10
          Q. The person that you said works on special
11
     projects, what special projects are you talking about?
12
          A. State level legislation initiatives, things
13
      like that.
14
          Q. How many people --
15
          A.
               Tax -- oh.
16
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay. Line 18:
17
               How many people usually attend these
          Q.
18
     meetings?
               It depends. There's usually a representative
19
20
     from RJ Reynolds and from Lorillard, American.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 119, Line 6:
21
22
               What other meetings does the Tobacco
23
      Institute have where other people from Philip Morris,
24
      other than yourself, would go, or that you would go to?
25
              There's a state coordinating committee
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
     meeting that I go to on occasion, and Tina Walls, who's
 1
 2
     vice president of government affairs, goes to with
 3
     regularity.
 4
          Q. How often are those meetings held?
 5
          Α.
              The same.
```

```
6
          Q. Monthly?
7
          A. Yes.
8
          Q. What kind of policy is set at the management
9
      committee meetings?
         A. Could you be more specific?
10
11
          Q. Yes. What's the purpose of the meetings?
               What kind of issues are decided or discussed?
12
13
               Normally, the primary issues have to do with
          Α.
14
    taxation, restrictions.
15
          Q. Smoking bans?
16
          A. Smoking bans. Things that would impact the
17
     industry.
          Q. And part of the purpose of the meetings would
18
19
     be how to cope with these kinds of things, how to deal
20
      with them?
21
          A. That's correct.
22
              MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 121, Line 4:
23
          Q. What's your title now?
24
          A. Vice president of corporate affairs.
25
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Line 18:
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                        20212
1
          Q. So, in terms of the chain of command at
 2
     Philip Morris, what positions are before you?
 3
          A. Steve Parrish, Bill Campbell.
 4
          Q. That's it?
 5
              In Philip Morris USA, yes.
          Α.
              Well, you are vice president of corporate
 6
          Q.
 7
     affairs for Philip Morris USA?
          A. That's correct.
8
9
              MR. ROSENBLATT: And Page 122, Line 6:
10
          Q. Parrish's title is what again?
          A. General counsel and senior vice president of
11
     external affairs.
12
13
          Q. Is there anyone at your level -- in other
     words, in terms of the chain of command, it seems to me
14
      you're the number three person at Philip Morris USA.
15
16
          A. Only in my area of responsibility. There are
17
      other people at my level in other areas.
18
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Page 123, Line 6:
19
               THE COURT: Let's --
               MR. HEIM: That's where we stopped.
20
               THE COURT: Let me see.
21
22
               MR. ROSENBLATT: I think -- okay.
23
               MR. HEIM: We stopped there, Stan.
24
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Fine.
25
               THE COURT: I'm just trying to get --
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
            COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
1
               MR. ROSENBLATT: I thought we went through
 2
     Line 6 through 18 and then we stopped.
 3
               MR. HEIM: That's fine. He can go ahead and
 4
     read it if he wants.
 5
               THE COURT: Let's leave it the way it is, and
 6
      then we'll pick it up.
 7
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Pick up on 123.
 8
               THE COURT: We'll pick up 123, Line 6 when we
9
      come back.
10
               All right. So, that gives us twenty minutes
11
      after twelve. Come back here at 1:30 -- wait a minute,
12
     because we have some work to do.
```

```
13
                MR. HEIM: We have to finish the rest of
14
      this.
15
               THE COURT: Yes. All right. Make it a
16
      quarter to two. Okay. 1:45.
17
                (The jurors exited the courtroom.)
18
                THE COURT: Okay. Let's finish up with this
19
      and then we all can go to lunch.
20
                Okay. Page 123, Line 6 through 18 is not
21
      opposed.
22
                19 through 25, overruled.
23
                Page 124, 1 through 25, overruled.
24
               MR. HEIM: Your Honor, can I stop you at this
25
      point, because just for a moment, if I may interrupt.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20214
                THE COURT: Yes.
 1
 2
                MR. HEIM: There's a whole lot of this stuff
 3
      that comes in -- I mean, that is discussed in the back
 4
      end of this deposition that has to do with political
 5
      contributions to state and local and Federal lobbying.
                THE COURT: Yes.
 6
                MR. HEIM: I mean, there's a bunch of it.
 7
 8
                I don't know whether the Court has -- you
 9
      probably do have the objections we filed, but the
10
      thrust of my objection to all this is what does it have
11
      to do with any claim in this case?
                I mean, I know we've said before that it's
12
      protected activity, it's lobbying, it's First
13
      Amendment. And I know Your Honor has heard this
14
15
      argument. I'm not going to make those arguments again.
16
               But all of this stuff on lobbying and
17
      political contributions, it's irrelevant. I mean,
      there's nothing wrong with lobbying or political
18
      contributions. Every major corporation in the United
19
20
      States has lobbyists and makes political contributions,
21
      virtually, but why are we reading all this?
22
                It goes on for pages and pages and pages
23
      about it, and I think it becomes prejudicial at that
24
      point.
25
               MR. ROSENBLATT: It's a question of
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
      influence, Judge. It's a question of what they do,
 1
 2
      their activities. They're defendants in the case.
 3
               That's why I was asking Ellen Merlo about
 4
      sponsorship. They touch every base. This is an
 5
      industry which doesn't miss a trick. There's no
 6
      comparable industry in America or the world that
 7
      touches every base, whether it's cultural, whether it's
 8
      art, whether it's sports, political contributions.
 9
               They present themselves as a put-upon
10
      industry. It's important for us to show that they know
11
      how to function quite well, within the American
12
      political system, in terms of regulation and stuff like
13
      that. It's germane.
14
                MR. HEIM: Absolutely not, Judge.
15
                THE COURT: It goes to how deeply you want to
16
      get into it.
17
                MR. HEIM: It's terribly out of context to
18
      say that the automobile industry, many other
19
      industries, don't participate in the same activities,
```

```
20
      the pharmaceutical industry. Yet it goes on and on.
21
      And it's part of the prejudice.
22
               THE COURT: Let's go through it. When we get
23
      to those points, we can review it.
24
               I think in the general context of what we're
25
      talking about now, the question on Line 19 through 25
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20216
 1
      is governmental affairs, the interaction, it's in
 2
      general terms.
 3
                124, Lines 1 through 25 is okay.
 4
               MR. HEIM: Right there in the middle on Line
 5
      12 is contributions to political.
               THE COURT: Now we get to 125. Overruled as
 6
 7
      to Lines 1 through 7.
 8
               Okay. Then we get into the Washington
 9
      office.
10
               All right. All right. I don't mind all of
      that. 125, 1 through 25 is all right.
11
12
                126 is denied, overruled.
                Now we get into some individual areas. I
13
14
      don't think there's any sense in going into it. So 18
15
      through 25 is sustained.
16
               Page 127, 1 through 7 is sustained.
17
               Moving over to 130, 13 through 21 I thought
18
      was repetitious. Sustain the objection.
19
               131, that whole page was either repetitious
20
      or argumentative, so I sustained the objection.
21
               132, 3 through 9 I also sustained.
22
      Argumentative and repetitious.
23
               137, 9 through 23, sustained.
24
               138, 1 through 4 sustained; 9, sustained; 12
25
      through 25, sustained.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20217
                139, 1 and 2, sustained; 14 through 25,
 1
 2
      sustained.
 3
               140, Lines 1 through 5, sustained; and 12
 4
      through 18 -- no. Excuse me. That was a mistake on my
 5
      part. 12 through 18 overruled.
 6
               Now we get to 142, and we're talking about an
 7
      exhibit which I'm not familiar with here, the Fred
 8
      Panzer/Kornegay letter.
9
               MR. HEIM: Well, she is, too. She said she's
10
      never seen it before and doesn't know it. And it's
11
      dated 20 years before.
               THE COURT: Yes. Well, I marked it out, but
12
13
      I wasn't familiar with which document we were talking
14
15
                She says she had never seen it or read it and
16
      she wasn't a participant in it. I sustained the
17
      objection.
18
                So, on 143, Lines 1 through 12, sustained.
19
                144, 1 through 6, and 13 through 24,
20
      sustained.
                146, Lines 22 through 25, sustained.
21
                147, 1 through 9, overruled.
22
23
               12 through 17, overruled.
24
               18 through 25, sustained.
25
               148, 1 through 8, overruled; 11 through 19,
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

```
1
      overruled; 20 through 25, sustained.
 2
               149, 1 through 4, sustained; and 8 through
 3
      25, sustained.
 4
                150, 1 through 5, sustained.
 5
                153, overruled, 9 through 19; 20 through 25,
 6
      unopposed.
 7
                154, 1 through 25, unopposed.
 8
               MR. HEIM: Well, Your Honor, I should have
 9
      opposed it. It's ETS.
10
               THE COURT: I'm sorry.
                MR. HEIM: I agreed that it does -- it isn't
11
12
      marked on here as being opposed, but belatedly I oppose
13
      it because it's an accommodation program. It's ETS,
14
      and I made this argument.
15
               THE COURT: I tell you the truth, I marked it
16
      off until I realized you hadn't objected. And I said,
17
      well, they didn't object; they had a reason. But
      apparently --
18
19
               MR. HEIM: I'll have to fire whoever didn't
20
      object to it. No. I'm only kidding.
21
               THE COURT: We're talking about banning at
22
      the airport and all that sort of thing.
23
               MR. HEIM: It's irrelevant.
24
               THE COURT: Yes. That's why I had marked it
25
      off. And I looked it over again, and I said, well,
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20219
 1
      they didn't object, so there had to be a reason.
               MR. HEIM: Well, I do object.
 2
 3
                THE COURT: You do. Okay.
                Well, I would agree with you on that.
 4
 5
               MR. HEIM: Beginning on Line 20 on 153.
                THE COURT: No. I think that we ought to go
 6
 7
      with 20 through 25 on 153 and Lines 1 through 6 on 154.
                MR. HEIM: Okay.
 8
9
                THE COURT: And then the rest, I think, 7
10
      through 25, is out.
11
               And on 155, 1 through 25, sustained; as is
12
      156, 1 through 25.
13
               And 157, 1 through 12.
                Okay. 159, 15 through 25 was sustained.
14
15
                160, 1 through 25, sustained.
16
                161, 1 through 15, sustained.
17
                164, 3 through 16, sustained.
18
               Now, I don't know why we got into New York,
19
      political contributions in New York. They don't seem
20
      to relate.
21
                So 166, 11 through 25, sustained, unless you
22
      want to ask David Dinkins -- be that as it may.
23
               167, the same situation.
24
               All right. Then we get down to -- Line 21 is
25
      sustained. Line 22 is a question on addiction.
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20220
                I allowed 22 through 25 and Line 1 on Page
 1
 2
      168; 2 through 25, I sustained.
 3
               169, 1 through 18, sustained; 19 through 24,
 4
 5
               25 on 169, sustained; as is Lines 1, 2 and 3
```

```
6
      on 170.
 7
                Lines 9 through 13 on 170, sustained.
 8
                I allowed the question on 14, 15 and 16 on
9
      Page 170.
10
               And then you skip from there to Page 171,
11
      Line 6. The rest is out.
                So 170, 18 through 25 would be out.
12
13
                171, 1 through 5 would be out.
14
                Pick up the answer on Line 6, 171.
15
                And overrule the objections from 6 through
      25.
16
                On Page 172, Line 1 through 19, overruled.
17
18
                Okay. Now we get into the lobbyists.
19
      They're just talking names.
               MR. HEIM: Well, 172, where it says: What is
20
21
      the main function of the lobbyist --
22
                THE COURT: It appears to be somewhat
23
      repetitious, but let me just go back.
24
                172, you said?
                MR. HEIM: Yes.
25
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20221
 1
                THE COURT: Lobbyists deal with multiple
 2
      issues, including smoking bans.
 3
                All right. It didn't make much sense, you
 4
      know, talking about it. Mainly because nobody would
 5
      care who they are.
                So 175, 10 through 25, sustained.
 6
                1 through 7 on Page 176, sustained.
 7
 8
                MR. HEIM: Judge, I'm sorry. I didn't get
9
      what you just ruled on 172.
10
                THE COURT: 172?
11
                MR. HEIM: Right. From 3 to 19.
                THE COURT: I thought I did.
12
                MR. HEIM: I just didn't catch what you did
13
14
      with that.
15
                THE COURT: I allowed that.
16
                MR. HEIM: You allowed that in?
17
                THE COURT: Yes. Yes. I thought that was
18
      all background of lobbyists. That's why I'm not
19
      getting into lobbyists on 175 and 176.
                And so 176, 1 through 7 I talked about.
20
21
                And 8 through 13 is sustained, and 17 through
22
      23 is sustained.
23
               Let's see what I marked that one for. Yes.
24
      All of the way down through Line 25, sustained.
25
                177, 1 through 5, sustained.
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20222
 1
                And 12 through 16, sustained.
 2
                Now we get into something else.
 3
                17 through 25 on Page 177, overruled.
 4
                178, Lines 1 through 25, overruled.
 5
                179, 1 through 5, overruled.
 6
                I'm on 186. Should start with Line 12.
 7
      Line 12 through 25 is overruled; as is 187, Line 1
 8
      through 19.
 9
                Page 191, the secondhand smoke issue, so I
10
      excluded 11 through 18.
11
                193, 9 through 23, sustained.
12
                199, I wasn't sure where you were going with
```

```
13
     that. 10 through 16, I don't know if it's innocuous or
14
     not.
15
               What's the materiality to all of this, 10
16
      through 16?
17
               MR. ROSENBLATT: 10 through 16?
18
               MR. HEIM: International.
19
               MR. ROSENBLATT: That's okay. We'll withdraw
20
      that.
               THE COURT: Then 17 through 25, you're
21
22
      talking about external affairs and Mr. Parrish.
23
               MR. ROSENBLATT: She said, you know, Parrish
24
     and Campbell are the only two people really above her.
25
               THE COURT: Ahead of her. Well, they could
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20223
      talk about it, I guess.
1
2
               17 through 25, overruled.
 3
               200, 1 through 4, overruled.
 4
               Now we get into a hearsay issue. Who is this
5
      person, Dr. Carchman? Who's he?
               MR. HEIM: He's a scientist at Philip Morris.
 6
7
               THE COURT: Well, it looked to me like all of
8
     that was hearsay.
9
               MR. ROSENBLATT: There's been a lot of issues
10
     where, you know, where do you get your information
      from? And these were in-house Philip Morris people
11
      from which she's developed her views.
12
               THE COURT: I realize that.
13
               MR. HEIM: He will be a witness in this case.
14
15
               THE COURT: He will or will not?
16
               MR. HEIM: He will.
               MR. ROSENBLATT: All the more reason to
17
18
      include this.
19
               MR. HEIM: Well, you know, I didn't want to
20
     mislead you and let you think that he wasn't. He will
21
      be here to testify.
22
               MR. ROSENBLATT: Carchman.
23
               MR. HEIM: Uh-huh.
24
               THE COURT: All right. You know, you can
25
     look at it two ways. It's being said for the fact that
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                         20224
1
      it was said not for the truth, because she's only
 2.
      paraphrasing what was said. You know, if you go down
      to 19, you look at that question. You say that's your
3
 4
      view. That's the view you got from Carchman.
               So, I'm not so sure it's damaging in any way.
 5
6
               MR. HEIM: Well, I actually think, you know,
7
      to be fair, since he is going to testify, I don't -- I
      don't think I have a problem with it.
8
9
               THE COURT: Okay.
10
               MR. HEIM: Down through --
11
               THE COURT: It runs up to Page 201.
               MR. HEIM: Right. Line 3.
12
               THE COURT: So that's okay.
13
14
               Then on 3 -- correction, 4. Line 4. Excuse
15
     me.
16
               MR. HEIM: I object to that.
17
               THE COURT: Why?
18
               MR. HEIM: Because she has no competence in
     this area, and she -- there's no foundation for her to
19
```

```
20
      talk about safer cigarettes. That's not what was being
      asked of her before. She was being asked about how she
21
22
      learned what she said earlier.
2.3
               THE COURT: Yes. But the job that she's in,
      it seems to me she would be aware of it.
24
25
               MR. HEIM: You know what? I don't think I
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20225
 1
      object to it, now that I think about it, because the
      question is: Are you aware of any safer cigarettes
      that have ever been developed but which have not been
 3
 4
      marketed?
               And she said: I don't know.
 5
                THE COURT: Yes.
 6
 7
               MR. HEIM: Well, it's actually kind of
 8
      confusing, you know.
9
                THE COURT: You can sit there and analyze all
10
      this stuff. But I always worked on the general
11
      principle, if it didn't hurt, then don't complain.
12
               MR. HEIM: Well, then, I won't complain.
      That's pretty much my principle, too.
13
      THE COURT: 204, the Philip Morris magazine, that's something new. I never saw that before.
14
15
16
               So she talks about the Philip Morris
17
      magazine. Overrule the objection.
               Page 205, 1 through 19, overruled.
18
19
                208, and we're talking about the label and
20
21
                I let in 8 through 18 and kept out the rest,
22
      19 through 23; and 209, 2 through 5, 17 and 18 and 21
23
      through 23.
24
               MR. HEIM: Help me with this again, Judge.
25
      208 --
              TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
             COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
                                                          20226
                THE COURT: Go back to 208. I allowed 8
 1
 2
      through 18.
 3
                MR. HEIM: Okay.
 4
                THE COURT: And the rest of it I sustained.
                MR. MOSS: On the following page.
 5
                THE COURT: And the next page, 209.
 6
                MR. HEIM: I got it.
 7
 8
                THE COURT: And the next Page 210.
9
                And the next page, 211. And the top of 212,
10
      1 through 3.
11
               Overrule the objection on Line 21 through 25
12
      on 212.
13
               Overrule the objection on 213.
14
                Overrule the objection on 214.
15
                And that's it.
16
                Okay. So, that's good. It's quarter of one.
17
      It gives us an hour.
18
                You take the extra 15 minutes.
19
                MR. MOSS: No, sir. I'll be here.
                MR. ROSENBLATT: Mr. Moss will be back at
20
21
      two.
22
                MR. MOSS: The truth of the matter, Judge,
23
      I'll be back a little later. I have a hearing at 1:30.
24
               (A lunch recess was taken at 12:45 p.m.)
25
               TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
```

COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED